

Ambigapathy Pandian · Vighnarajah ·
Weng Marc Lim · Huey Fen Cheong
Editors



Future of Education in Asia

Sustainability, Technology
and Internationalisation

 Springer

Future of Education in Asia

Ambigapathy Pandian · Vighnarajah ·
Weng Marc Lim · Huey Fen Cheong
Editors

Future of Education in Asia

Sustainability, Technology
and Internationalisation


 Springer

Editors

Ambigapathy Pandian
Centre of Knowledge Advancement
and Languages (CeKAL)
i-CATS
Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia

Weng Marc Lim 
Sunway University
Subang Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Vighnarajah
Open University Malaysia
Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Huey Fen Cheong 
Universiti Malaya
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

ISBN 978-3-032-07284-9 ISBN 978-3-032-07285-6 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-07285-6>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2026

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Foreword

In an era marked by rapid technological advancements, global interconnectedness, and pressing environmental challenges, the future of education in Asia is undergoing a profound transformation. The education systems across the region must not only adapt to these shifts but also lead the way in fostering sustainable, inclusive, and future-ready learning environments. *Future of Education in Asia: Sustainability, Technology, and Internationalisation* is a timely exploration of these critical themes, offering insights and strategies for shaping the educational landscape of tomorrow.

This volume is thoughtfully structured into three thematic sections, each addressing a pivotal aspect that will influence the trajectory of education in Asia for years to come. The first section, *Fostering Sustainable Education*, emphasises the importance of creating educational systems that are both resilient, equitable and environmentally conscious. From the role of digital and hybrid mobility programmes in promoting global competence to inclusive practices across diverse classrooms, the chapters in this section examine how sustainability can be integrated into educational strategies to benefit all students.

The second section, *Technological Trends in Education*, explores the transformative role of emerging technologies in reshaping teaching and learning. As artificial intelligence (AI) and other digital innovations continue to evolve, these chapters offer valuable perspectives on how technology can enhance educational outcomes, improve accessibility, and equip students with the skills necessary to thrive in a rapidly changing world. The focus is on how educational institutions can leverage technological advances to meet the demands of the twenty-first century.

The third section, *Internationalisation of Education*, highlights the importance of cross-border collaboration and regional cooperation. This section addresses the current state of internationalisation in higher education, focusing on how Asia's educational systems can become more globally competitive while fostering stronger academic exchanges and international partnerships. Through an in-depth exploration of various internationalisation models, this section provides valuable insights into how the region can build a more integrated and dynamic educational ecosystem.

Throughout the chapters, the research is organised into thematic clusters that align with the current and future challenges facing education in Asia. These thematic clusters drawing on the works of Ferhnani (2019), such as *Past & Futures*, *Humanity at the Limen*, *Environmental Futures*, *Post-Normality & Complexity*, and *Technological Trends*, serve as the foundation for exploring the evolving nature of education and its intersection with sustainability, technology, and internationalisation. The thoughtful analyses in these chapters contribute to the ongoing conversation about how Asia can build educational systems that are both innovative and inclusive, addressing the needs of a rapidly changing world.

This collection serves as an essential resource for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders invested in advancing education across Asia. It provides a comprehensive framework for advancing education in the region, ensuring that future generations are equipped with the knowledge, skills, and values necessary to thrive in a globalised, technology-driven world. *Future of Education in Asia: Sustainability, Technology, and Internationalisation* is not just a reflection of the present but a roadmap for the future, guiding us towards a more inclusive, innovative, and sustainable future in education.

Professor Datuk Dr. Mohd Tajudin Md
Ninggal

Titles on the *Futures of Asia*

Future of Business in Asia: Exploring Pathways to Tomorrow's Business Landscape

Future of Cities in Asia: Future Direction of Urban Planning, Technology and Environmental Sustainability

Future of Economy in Asia: Geopolitical Diplomacy, Technological Revolutions and Sustainable Pathways

Future of Education in Asia: Sustainability, Technology and Internationalisation

Future of Healthcare in Asia: Advancing Equity, Ethics and Technology in Asian Healthcare

Future of Media in Asia: Artificial Intelligence, Digital Technology and Media Practice

Future of Work in Asia: Transforming Work Models, Workforce Dynamics and Workplace Technology

Acknowledgements

The completion of a book project such as this would not have been possible without the vision and support of many people. Our sincere gratitude goes to the Springer editorial and production team, Ms. Doris Bleier, Ms. Saranya Kalidoss, Ms. Catalina Sava, and Mr. Madanagopal Deenadayalan, for their professionalism, guidance, and encouragement throughout the critical stages of the publication process. Their support has been invaluable in bringing this volume to completion.

We also wish to thank the reviewers for their constructive feedback, as well as the contributing authors for their dedication, scholarship, and patience during the review and production stages. The support of families, colleagues, and friends is likewise gratefully acknowledged.

Special acknowledgment is made to Mrs. Selvarani for her unwavering encouragement, determination, and resilience, which continue to serve as a source of inspiration. Appreciation is also extended to Ms. Amutha from Reach Advertising for her valuable assistance in editing and managing the chapters, which greatly facilitated the final preparation of this book.

List of Reviewers

1. Assoc Prof Dr Jamilah binti Ahmad, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Technology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
2. Dr Anita Jimmie, School of Pre-U and Continuing Education, Curtin University, Sarawak Campus, Malaysia.
3. Mr Amin Sarman, Faculty of Education, UiTM Puncak Alam, Malaysia.
4. Dr Esther Tong, College of Professional and Continuing Education, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong.
5. Ms Adibah binti Azman, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Open University Malaysia, Malaysia.
6. Dr Haida Umiera Binti Hashim, Academy of Language Studies, UiTM Shah Alam, Malaysia.

7. Associate Professor Dr Lara Promnitz-Hayashi, Faculty of International Studies, Keiai University, Japan.
8. Prof. Dr. Vishalache A/P Balakrishnan, Faculty of Education, Universiti Malaya.
9. Ms Sheela Faizura Nik Fauzi, Academy of Language Studies, UiTM Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia.
10. Dr. Noel Jimbai Anak Balang, Selangau District Education Office, Sarawak, Malaysia.
11. Ms Wong Woei Ling, Kuching District Education Office, Sarawak, Malaysia.
12. Assistant Professor Dr Ku Faridah Binti Ku Ibrahim, Faculty of Social Sciences and Liberal Arts, UCSI University, Malaysia.
13. Ms Sujatha Krishnan, School of Pre-U and Continuing Education, Curtin University, Sarawak Campus, Malaysia.
14. Professor Dr Neeta Inamdar, Faculty of Humanities, Liberal Arts and Social Sciences, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), India.

Contents

Introduction: Unveiling the Future Landscape of Education in Asia	1
Vighnarajah and Ambigapathy Pandian	
Future of Sustainable Education in Asia: Equitable Learning Through Digital and Hybrid Mobility Programmes	11
Hiroyuki Ishizaki, Maria Anityasari, and Isma Rosila Ismail	
Teaching Strategies for Promoting Sustainable Inclusive Practices in Heterogeneous Classrooms: A Comparative Study of Indonesia, China, Thailand and Korea	35
Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, Luh Putu Artini, and Ni Made Ratminingsih	
Exploring Early Education for Sustainable Development: Insights from a Japanese Kindergarten	71
Fung Lan Loo, Mahani Abdul Malik, Aliza Ali, Md Rosli Ismail, and Nurhusna Abdul Malek	
Sustainable Cultural Transformations in Asia: Vietnamese Students' Intercultural Awareness Following a Malaysian Exchange Programme	93
Wun-Chiew Pung, Connie Keh-Nie Lim, and Su-Hie Ting	
AI's Impact on Higher Education and Academic Publishing in Asia: Insights from China, South Korea and Singapore	115
Márton Gosztonyi	
Harnessing AI Innovations for Future-Ready Education in Malaysia Vis-à-Vis Thailand, Singapore and South Korea	145
Johari Abdullah, Kee Man Chuah, Erlinda Mikal, and Syahrul Nizam Junaini	
Building the Tomorrow: Technology's Transformative Role in ASEAN's Built Environment Education	163
N. M. Alwi, N. Z. Abidin, N. H. H. Lim, and L. Hasnan	

Future Directions in Filipino Education: Translanguaging Practices in Online Mathematics Learning Environments 181
Karizza P. Bravo-Sotelo

Shaping the Future of Higher Education in Asia: Impact of Hybrid Learning Models on Student Outcomes in Vietnam, Thailand and China 207
Mai Phuc Thinh

Mapping the Internationalisation of Higher Education in the Philippines Within ASEAN 227
Nilo Jayoma Castulo and Arlyne C. Marasigan

India and Select Asian Policies for Internationalisation of Higher Education 255
D. Prachi

Conclusion 277

Author Index 281

Subject Index 283

Chapter 7

Harnessing AI Innovations for Future-Ready Education in Malaysia Vis-à-Vis Thailand, Singapore and South Korea



Johari Abdullah , Kee Man Chuah , Erlinda Mikal ,
and Syahrul Nizam Junaini 

Abstract This chapter explores the transformative role of Generative AI technologies in potentially redefining the educational landscape of Malaysia, with comparative insights drawn from Thailand, Singapore and South Korea. In the post-COVID-19 era marked by rapid technological change and evolving pedagogical needs, the chapter examines how these nations are integrating AI into their education systems. It critically analyses the impact of AI tools on educators, students and key stakeholders across these countries, highlighting differences in strategy, implementation and outcomes. Special focus is given to the integration of AI in teaching, learning and assessment practices, providing a regional perspective on innovation and inclusivity. The chapter also discusses opportunities and challenges faced by Malaysia and its neighbours in adopting AI in classrooms, offering strategic insights for effective and ethical integration. By comparing approaches and outcomes, this chapter provides a roadmap for educators and policymakers to collaboratively steer AI-driven educational reform in Malaysia and beyond. Ultimately, this chapter contributes to the broader discourse on future-ready education in Asia, positioning Malaysia at the forefront of educational innovation in the face of global technological advancements.

J. Abdullah (✉) · S. N. Junaini
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak,
Samarahan, Malaysia
e-mail: ajohari@unimas.my

S. N. Junaini
e-mail: syahruln@unimas.my

K. M. Chuah · E. Mikal
Faculty of Education, Language and Communication, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Samarahan,
Malaysia
e-mail: kmchuah@unimas.my

E. Mikal
e-mail: merlinda@unimas.my

Keywords AI innovations · Generative AI · Teaching and learning · AI in assessment · Future-ready education · Educational technology

7.1 Introduction

The emergence of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies such as ChatGPT, Claude 3 and Google Gemini marks a new age in the field of education (Labadze & Grigolia, 2023), with the capacity to profoundly revolutionise teaching and learning methods, particularly in the Malaysian setting. This chapter investigates how these technologies are reshaping the educational landscape in Malaysia, while drawing comparative insights from Thailand, Singapore and South Korea. The urgency of this transformation has been amplified by the COVID-19 pandemic, which accelerated digital adoption and highlighted the need for more flexible, inclusive and future-focused educational practices. In Malaysia, the incorporation of Generative AI technologies presents a promising opportunity to strengthen teaching methods, effectively involve learners and boost educational results in all areas.

The educational sector faces distinct difficulties and opportunities in the era following the COVID-19 pandemic (Aboagye et al., 2020). The rapid transition to digital learning platforms and subsequent recognition of technology's potential in education have emphasised the necessity for a methodical and deliberate use of AI tools in teaching and learning procedures. This chapter seeks to examine the intricacies of teaching and learning in the current period, which is defined by swift technology advancements and shifting educational requirements.

While Malaysia sees promise in integrating Generative AI to enhance teaching methodologies and student engagement, its progress is uneven. In contrast, countries like Singapore have made significant strides through robust policy frameworks, and South Korea and Thailand are advancing with strategic investments in AI-powered learning environments. This chapter offers a critical examination of these comparative trajectories, addressing the challenges, innovations and outcomes of AI implementation in each context.

Focusing on AI's integration in instruction, engagement and assessment, the chapter seeks to understand how regional best practices can inform Malaysia's strategy towards future-ready education. By analysing these varied approaches, it aims to support educators, policymakers and institutions in developing balanced, inclusive and ethically guided AI adoption models across Asia.

7.2 Key Concepts and Issues

7.2.1 *Understanding Artificial Intelligence*

Artificial Intelligence (AI) aims to replicate human-like intelligence, enabling systems to understand language, recognise patterns, make decisions and solve complex problems. The field's genesis in the mid-twentieth century was marked by Alan Turing's seminal question about machine reasoning, leading to pivotal developments. Among these, IBM's Deep Blue chess victory over Garry Kasparov in 1997 and Google's AlphaGo defeating a professional Go player in 2016 stand out, showcasing AI's capacity for analysing complex patterns and strategic decision-making (Granter et al., 2017).

Early AI research concentrated on theoretical problem-solving and symbolic methods, resulting in significant programmes like the Logic Theorist and ELIZA. These initiatives proved that machines could undertake tasks previously believed to require human intelligence. However, the initial optimism was tempered by technological limitations, leading to periods known as 'AI winters,' characterised by diminished funding and interest (Toosi et al., 2021). The landscape shifted with advancements in computing power, data availability and machine learning (ML) techniques, transitioning AI from a focus on rule-based processing to data-driven learning.

Machine Learning, as a vital component of AI, revolutionised the field by enabling systems to learn from data, thus identifying patterns without direct programming (Rebala et al., 2019). This shift laid the groundwork for further advancements in AI, notably through deep learning. Deep learning employs intricate, multi-layered neural networks to discern complex patterns, significantly enhancing AI's capabilities in fields like image and speech recognition, natural language processing and autonomous driving (Liu et al., 2021; Sarker, 2021).

Building on these advancements, Generative AI emerged as a cutting-edge frontier, capable of producing novel content, ranging from text to images and music. This development extends AI's capabilities beyond mere pattern recognition, enabling the creation of original, innovative outputs (Cao et al., 2023). The evolution from AI's theoretical underpinnings to its practical applications across various sectors highlights its transformative impact and underscores the field's continuous growth and potential for future innovation.

7.2.2 *The Evolution of AI in Education*

The integration of AI into various levels of education has witnessed a shift from automated systems for drills and practice to intelligent systems capable of promoting personalised learning experiences. As aptly pointed out by Roll and Wylie (2016), the evolution transcends mere introduction of new technologies into the classroom.

The field of AI in education has also achieved significant breakthroughs in theoretical development, which has contributed to making learning experiences more engaging and personalised in line with the progress to Education 4.0 and beyond (Bonfield et al., 2020). It is, in fact, through this expansion in theoretical understanding that AI technologies can be developed or integrated to be more relevant for educational purposes.

In the early days of AI implementation in education around the 70s, the main interest of the researchers and educators was on improving computer-assisted instruction (CAI). The role of AI in CAI was limited to providing basic drill and practice exercises (Dede, 1986). Carbonell (1970) claimed that these programmes were effective for rote memorisation and practice but lacked the sophistication to adapt to the diverse needs of learners or to provide in-depth instructional support. This restricted usage mirrors the educational paradigm of that era, which was largely characterised by behaviourist learning principles (Schunk, 2012). Even though these early systems were simple, they showed that computers could provide tailored learning experiences, which paved the way for more advanced AI applications.

As technology advanced, the 1980s and 1990s saw the emergence of intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), which represented a significant leap forward (Nwana, 1990; VanLehn, 2011). Further, Kulik and Fletcher (2016) in their meta-analytic review showed that these systems could provide more customised feedback and adapt to individual learners' needs. ITS utilised primarily rule-based systems to model the domain knowledge and the learner's understanding. This makes it possible to tailor instructions and offer targeted guidance to learners (Nwana, 1990). The core idea of ITS lies in the quest to create a personal tutor capable of addressing the inherent challenges of traditional one-size-fits-all educational models (Ferster, 2022). These systems aim to replicate the effectiveness of one-on-one tutoring by offering a highly personalised and adaptive learning experience. However, during the 80s and 90s, ITS were limited to institutions or schools that had the necessary technological infrastructure and financial resources to support such advanced systems.

The advent of the internet and the proliferation of digital data in the late 1990s and early 2000s ushered in a new era for AI in education. The availability of vast amounts of educational data and the development of machine learning algorithms enabled the creation of more advanced and adaptive learning environments (Cheung et al., 2021; Park et al., 2023). These technologies could analyse learner data in real-time, which eventually provides insights into learning patterns and identifies knowledge gaps encountered by the learner. In the last decade, the rise of big data, natural language processing and advanced analytics has further revolutionised AI's role in education (Cui et al., 2023). AI-powered educational platforms now offer a wide range of functionalities, from automated grading systems and plagiarism detectors to chatbots that provide instant support and feedback to learners (Chuah & Kabilan, 2021; Gillani et al., 2023). Furthermore, AI is being used to develop immersive learning experiences through virtual and augmented reality, making learning more engaging and accessible.

Building upon these advancements, the birth of a new generation of AI tools known as Generative AI in recent years has caught the attention of many educational

Table 7.1 Prominent generative AI tools in the market

Category	Generative AI tools
Texts	ChatGPT, Claude3, Google Gemini, Microsoft Copilot, Jasper
Images	DALL-E (also integrated in ChatGPT and Copilot), MidJourney, StableDiffusion (Stability AI), Imagen 3, Adobe Firefly
Video	Synthesia, HeyGen, D-ID
Audio	ElevenLabs, PlayHT, Descript
Coding	AlphaCode, GitHub Copilot

researchers and educators (Stokel-Walker & Van Noorden, 2023). Generative AI tools can produce original content ranging from text to images and even simulations. The key to generative AI tools is that users can generate various forms of content just by providing textual instructions or commonly known as prompts. They offer unprecedented opportunities for personalised and interactive learning experiences, as learners can ideally embrace self-regulated learning by engaging with these tools. Table 7.1 summarises some of the prominent Generative AI tools according to its categories.

Despite these advancements in AI, its adoption in the Malaysian education system remains slower than expected. Although the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation Malaysia (MOSTI) launched the Malaysia National Artificial Intelligence Roadmap, 2021–2025 (MOSTI, 2021), the implementation of AI in education remains at the conceptual level with minimal outcomes. The focus on education was also geared towards using machine learning for learning analytics and the prediction of educational outcomes. There is an apparent need for a more consolidated effort to integrate relevant AI technologies and tools for teaching and learning. However, a renewed effort can be seen in recent developments within the Malaysian education system. While the adoption of AI in education has been slower than anticipated, there are several promising initiatives and strategies in place to accelerate its integration and improve outcomes.

7.3 Discussion of Implications

7.3.1 *Comparative Review on AI Integration in Education: Malaysia, Thailand, Singapore and South Korea*

Malaysia, like many nations in Asia, is actively exploring the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in education to improve teaching and learning outcomes. The Malaysia National Artificial Intelligence Roadmap (2021–2025) outlines key strategies, including personalised learning, STEM education and administrative automation, yet its implementation faces challenges. Limited infrastructure, particularly in

rural areas and varying levels of teacher readiness have slowed AI adoption. Pilot projects such as 1BestariNet demonstrate the potential of AI integration, though these efforts have faced criticism for connectivity gaps and inconsistent implementation (MOSTI, 2021; Shanmugam et al., 2019).

In Thailand, the Digital Thailand and Thailand 4.0 policies prioritise AI to bridge educational inequities (Ajanapanya, 2024). Projects like the Smart Classroom Project aim to provide adaptive learning opportunities for rural students, leveraging partnerships with international tech companies to accelerate AI adoption. These programmes are aligned with Thailand's national goal of achieving educational equity through technology (Machmud et al., 2021).

Singapore, on the other hand, sets a regional benchmark with its Smart Nation 2.0 initiative, which fully integrates AI into education (Choudhury, 2024). Through tools such as predictive analytics, personalised learning systems and smart classrooms, Singapore emphasises scalability and equity. The EdTech Masterplan allocates substantial resources to ensure robust AI integration aligned with the national curriculum, making it an exemplary model of AI-driven education (EdTech Masterplan 2030, 2023).

The South Korean government has outlined three major policy directions for AI education, focusing on developing AI education content, advancing AI education policies and preparing for the new curriculum set to be implemented in 2025. These policies aim to integrate AI smoothly into classrooms and address emerging challenges (Lee & Jeong, 2023). AI curriculum design for K-12 education in Korea is still in its nascent stages, with efforts starting in 2020 to train teachers in AI education. The curriculum is designed by analysing AI education methods from advanced countries and adapting them to the Korean context (Park & Lim, 2023). The integration of AI into the Korean education system is progressing, with significant efforts in policy formulation, curriculum design and teacher training.

7.3.2 Impact of AI on Teaching and Learning

The impact of AI on teaching and learning is profoundly reshaping instructional methodologies across the globe, including in Malaysia. AI tools, such as adaptive learning software, AI-driven analytics and intelligent tutoring systems, are revolutionising traditional teaching methods (Gligorea et al., 2023). These technologies enable personalised learning experiences, allowing educators to tailor their instruction to meet the individual needs of each student (Pataranutaporn et al., 2021). For instance, adaptive learning platforms use AI algorithms to analyse a student's performance and learning style, adjusting the curriculum dynamically to suit their pace and understanding (Kabudi et al., 2021). In Malaysia, initiatives like the Malaysia Education Blueprint, 2013–2025 have emphasised the integration of such technologies into the curriculum, aiming to foster a more innovative and personalised learning environment (MOE, 2013).

The effect of AI technologies on student engagement and learning outcomes is significantly positive. AI-driven educational tools make learning more interactive and engaging, capturing the attention of students who might otherwise be disinterested in traditional teaching methods (Huang et al., 2023). These tools often incorporate gamification elements, interactive simulations and real-time feedback, making learning more appealing and relevant to students (Bachiri et al., 2023). This increased engagement often translates to improved learning outcomes, as students are more motivated and better equipped to understand complex concepts. In Malaysia, the use of AI in language learning apps has shown promising results in enhancing English proficiency among students, a key goal of the country's educational policy (Mohd Nasir et al., 2023). Such technologies also support educators in tracking student progress more accurately, enabling data-driven decisions to optimise teaching strategies and improve overall academic performance.

Case studies from Malaysian educational institutions highlight the transformative impact of AI on teaching and learning. For instance, in the study by Chew and Chua (2020), AI was utilised to enhance Chinese language learning by integrating the NAO robot into traditional classroom settings, which complemented human tutoring with interactive and engaging robot-led activities. This blend of human and robotic tutoring leveraged the robot's emotion recognition and body language capabilities to demonstrate Chinese words, making the learning process more interactive and memorable for students.

Also, the study by Rahim et al. (2022) addresses the transformative impact of AI in higher education by developing a model for adopting AI-based chatbots. This model, derived from a combination of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) and Neural Network modelling, aims to enhance the understanding and effective integration of AI chatbots in educational environments. The research highlights the potential of AI to revolutionise educational practices, particularly through the use of advanced chatbot technologies that can facilitate more efficient, interactive and personalised learning experiences. These examples highlight the potential of AI to not only augment the role of educators but also to provide students with a more adaptive and immersive learning experience. As AI continues to evolve, its integration into the Malaysian education system promises to further enhance teaching methodologies and learning outcomes (Bachiri et al., 2023).

7.3.3 AI in Assessment and Evaluation

AI in educational assessment and evaluation presents opportunities to enhance efficiency and learning outcomes through personalised testing and automated grading. AI systems can tailor tests to individual students' needs, adjusting difficulty and question types based on past performance and learning preferences, ensuring engagement and knowledge retention (Chen et al., 2020; Minn, 2022). This personalised approach, supported by educational data mining, helps identify student challenges early, enabling targeted support (Romero & Ventura, 2020).

Automated grading through AI offers significant advantages, such as efficiency in grading essays and multiple-choice questions, reducing educator workload and providing timely feedback. Despite concerns about AI's current limitations in mimicking human judgement, advancements in deep learning are expected to address these challenges, improving grading consistency and bias reduction (Gardner et al., 2021; Hahn et al., 2021; Perrotta & Selwyn, 2020).

Furthermore, AI's analysis of assessment data can uncover trends and learning gaps, supporting data-driven decision-making in education. This approach helps identify at-risk learners and optimise resource allocation, although caution is advised due to potential biases in AI-driven insights (Sharma et al., 2019; Wang, 2021).

In summary, AI enhances educational assessments by offering personalised tests, automated grading and insightful data analysis. However, addressing challenges like bias and ensuring the irreplaceable role of human guidance is crucial for a responsible AI implementation in education.

7.3.4 Challenges and Opportunities

The integration of AI in education, while promising for enhancing learning experiences and administrative efficiency, encounters several significant challenges, including ethical concerns, resource allocation and the necessity for teacher training. Ethical issues, particularly regarding data privacy and security, necessitate stringent data protection measures to prevent breaches and misuse of student information (Stahl & Wright, 2018). Additionally, the substantial financial investment required for AI technologies' infrastructure poses a challenge, especially in economically disadvantaged regions, potentially exacerbating educational inequalities without strategic resource management (Schiff, 2021; Vrontis et al., 2022). Effective utilisation of AI tools in education also depends on teachers' proficiency with these technologies, highlighting the need for comprehensive training programmes to equip educators with the skills to integrate AI into teaching practices effectively (Celik et al., 2022; Jeon et al., 2020).

Despite these hurdles, AI presents opportunities for enhancing inclusivity and personalisation in Malaysian education. By accommodating the varied needs of students, including those with disabilities or from remote areas, AI can help overcome geographical and socioeconomic barriers. Tools offering real-time translation and subtitles expand access to non-native speakers and hearing-impaired students, while early identification of learning disabilities through AI enables tailored support (Hashim et al., 2022; Subashini & Krishnaveni, 2021).

7.3.5 Strategies for Effective AI Integration

Recent scholarly discourse presents a multifaceted view of the potential and challenges inherent in this technological evolution. A pivotal study underscores the importance of comprehending educators' perceptions and necessities vis-à-vis AI and other nascent educational technologies (Kizilcec, 2023). This foundational understanding is critical to ensure that implementing AI in classrooms aligns with pedagogical goals and teacher competencies.

Another intriguing aspect explored in the literature is the role of AI-driven tools, such as chatbots, in refining the educational process. While these technologies hold immense potential for enhancing student–teacher interactions and learning outcomes, they also bring forth significant ethical and practical considerations that necessitate careful deliberation (Adiguzel et al., 2023). Integrating AI in education is not merely a technical upgrade but also involves navigating complex moral landscapes.

Furthermore, the concept of 'smart classrooms', which amalgamate AI and advanced technological infrastructures, emerges as a revolutionary approach to education. Studies indicate these environments can substantially improve various facets of education, including classroom management, teaching methodologies and performance assessments (Dimitriadou & Lanitis, 2023). Smart classrooms represent a significant change, redefining the traditional educational space into a dynamic, responsive and interactive learning environment.

In addition, there is an increasing emphasis on the critical analysis of existing online AI educational resources. The current discourse advocates for these resources to be in alignment with effective pedagogical practices. There is a call for future AI curricula to adopt comprehensive designs that offer support, guidance and adaptability to cater to diverse learning needs and environments (Druga et al., 2022).

Lastly, the pioneering use of machine learning models, such as EDU-AI, in the educational sector represents a cutting-edge development. These models have shown potential in automating the design of classroom layouts, playing a vital role in the early stages of architectural projects (Karadag et al., 2023). This application of AI extends beyond the immediate realm of teaching and learning, illustrating its transformative impact on educational infrastructure.

7.3.6 Guidelines for Incorporating AI in Education

To effectively integrate AI into education while preserving its human elements, educators and policymakers must engage in collaborative efforts to enhance AI literacy through comprehensive training programmes. Olari and Romeike (2021) emphasise the importance of incorporating AI and data literacy into teacher education, preparing educators across all subjects for AI's expanding role. Such programmes should encompass technical, pedagogical, ethical and educational

aspects of AI to facilitate its integration into teaching strategies, ultimately enriching student learning.

Moreover, the development of ethical guidelines for AI use in education is crucial, necessitating collaboration among policymakers, technologists, educators and ethicists. These guidelines should address critical issues, including data protection, consent, bias, fairness and algorithm transparency, ensuring AI technologies respect students' and staff's rights and dignity.

Addressing educational disparities is imperative, with regulations aimed at ensuring equitable AI resource access across different regions and socioeconomic backgrounds (Gilbert, 2021; Olari & Romeike, 2021; Xiang, 2022). Investments in infrastructure, such as high-speed internet and digital devices, are essential, particularly for schools in underserved areas, to bridge the digital divide. AI applications should prioritise augmenting rather than replacing human interactions (Bryson & Theodorou, 2019; Horvatić & Lipic, 2021; Steels, 2023), supporting educators, personalising learning and fostering interactive, cooperative learning environments. The focus should be on enhancing human capabilities, including critical thinking, creativity and empathy. Continuous research and evaluation are necessary to monitor AI's impact on educational outcomes, fairness and student welfare. Policymakers should implement systems for ongoing assessment of AI applications in educational settings, incorporating feedback from educators, students and other stakeholders to refine AI tools and strategies.

These policy recommendations aim to guide educators and policymakers in integrating AI into education thoughtfully, ensuring that technological advancements complement and enhance human-centred educational practices.

7.4 Future Directions

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education represents a significant shift towards personalised learning and advanced pedagogical methods. AI technologies, particularly Natural Language Processing (NLP), are instrumental in analysing student feedback to enhance educational practices and infrastructures. Highlighting the importance of NLP, studies such as Shaik et al. (2022) emphasise its role in refining educational feedback mechanisms, indicating a growing reliance on AI for pedagogical improvement.

Building on the utility of AI in analysing feedback, its application extends to enhancing student performance, notably in STEM fields. The incorporation of AI technologies in classrooms has been associated with increased student engagement and academic achievement, as discussed by García-Martínez et al. (2023). This positive correlation underscores AI's potential to revolutionise education by making learning more accessible and engaging. Furthermore, the interaction between human intelligence and AI is transitioning from merely automating tasks to augmenting human capabilities, supporting informed decision-making in educational settings. Ienthaler and Schumacher (2023) discuss how AI's ability to process large datasets

in real-time can enhance educational strategies and outcomes. In the realm of online learning, AI-enabled tools like Smart Web-Based Interactive System Modelling (SWISM) are pioneering personalised education through predictive analytics, as described by Yang et al. (2023).

However, the deployment of AI, especially NLP in education, faces challenges, including the complexity of language and the need for advanced techniques for sentiment analysis and understanding domain-specific jargon (Shaik et al., 2022). The emergence of AI in education (AIEd) brings to light ethical considerations and the impact on stakeholders, necessitating ongoing research to address these issues effectively (Ojha et al., 2023).

The expanding research in AI for online learning, with significant contributions from global leaders such as China, India and the United States, focuses on enhancing online teaching and learning processes. This research, as Dogan et al. (2023) pointed out, includes developing adaptive learning systems and predicting student behaviours, indicating a broad interest in optimising online education through AI. The ethical dimensions of AI in education are paramount for fostering a trust-based learning environment. Yu and Lu (2021) advocate for ethical AI deployment, emphasising transparency, fairness and privacy. Furthermore, the post-pandemic potential of leveraging Extended Reality (XR) and AI in online higher education enhances learning accessibility and engagement, as suggested by Rangel-de Lázaro and Duart (2023).

In Malaysia, Thailand, Singapore and South Korea, AI's implementation in education has followed diverse trajectories shaped by national priorities, infrastructural readiness and policy ecosystems. While Malaysia is focusing on enhancing language education and supporting national STEM objectives, Thailand is leveraging AI to address rural–urban disparities through adaptive learning initiatives. Singapore, with its Smart Nation 2.0 framework, prioritises full-scale AI integration aligned with national curricula, and South Korea is actively developing AI-specific curricula and teacher training as part of its 2025 reform.

These regional developments emphasise the need for Malaysia to establish a robust ethical and operational framework that not only supports AI adoption domestically but also draws from successful practices in neighbouring countries. Comparative insights suggest that cross-border learning and collaboration can accelerate AI maturity in education systems.

Future research directions should include:

- Adapting AI tools to align with the diverse learning environments in Malaysia while benchmarking against regional leaders.
- Evaluating long-term impacts of AI on student learning outcomes and teacher effectiveness across Asian contexts.
- Developing inclusive, scalable AI policies grounded in ethical principles that resonate with Southeast and East Asian socio-cultural realities.

By navigating ethical, cultural and logistical challenges alongside Thailand, Singapore and South Korea, Malaysia can position itself as a regional leader in AI-driven educational innovation, shaping a collaborative and future-ready education ecosystem across Asia.

7.5 Conclusion

This chapter explores the transformative potential of AI and Generative AI in enhancing educational outcomes in Malaysia through personalised learning, while drawing comparative insights from Thailand, Singapore and South Korea. By tailoring instruction to individual needs, AI fosters greater student engagement, promotes educational equity and cultivates critical thinking and problem-solving skills essential for the modern workforce.

The comparative analysis highlights that while Malaysia is making strides, it can benefit from the strategic frameworks, infrastructure investments and policy innovations observed in its regional counterparts. Singapore's robust EdTech infrastructure, South Korea's structured AI curriculum development and Thailand's inclusive approaches to bridging digital divides offer valuable lessons for shaping a future-ready Malaysian education system.

However, the integration of AI in education across all four countries presents common challenges, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, infrastructural limitations and the need for comprehensive teacher training. Addressing these issues requires a collaborative and interdisciplinary approach involving educational institutions, AI specialists and policymakers across the region.

To harness AI's full potential, continuous research and cross-border knowledge exchange are essential to monitor its impact, adapt best practices and ensure inclusive and ethical implementation. Through these efforts, Malaysia, alongside its Asian peers, can build a resilient and innovative educational ecosystem that empowers learners and educators in an increasingly digital and interconnected global economy.

Highlights

- AI, especially NLP and Generative AI, is reshaping personalised learning and assessment practices across Asia, with Malaysia, Thailand, Singapore and South Korea at varying stages of implementation.
- Singapore's EdTech-driven Smart Nation policy and South Korea's curriculum reforms offer strategic models for AI integration that Malaysia can adapt and localise.
- Thailand's initiatives focus on bridging urban-rural education gaps through AI-enhanced learning environments, presenting key lessons in equity-driven implementation.

- Ethical considerations, including bias, privacy and teacher readiness, remain central to AI deployment across all four countries, underscoring the need for region-specific policy frameworks.
- Malaysia's strategic adoption of AI, informed by regional comparisons, positions it to accelerate innovation in STEM and language education while promoting educational equity.

References

- Aboagye, E., Yawson, J. A., & Appiah, K. N. (2020). COVID-19 and e-learning: The challenges of students in tertiary institutions. *Social Education Research*, 2(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.37256/ser.212021422>
- Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(3), ep429. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152>
- Ajanapanya, N. (2024, March 17). Thailand charts bold course in AI development, emphasizing ethics and innovation. *The Nation Thailand*. <https://www.nationthailand.com/business/tech/40042660>
- Bachiri, Y.-A., Mouncif, H., & Bouikhalene, B. (2023). Artificial intelligence empowers gamification: Optimizing student engagement and learning outcomes in e-learning and MOOCs. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (IJEP)*, 13(8), 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v13i8.40853>
- Bonfield, C. A., Salter, M., Longmuir, A., Benson, M., & Adachi, C. (2020). Transformation or evolution?: Education 4.0, teaching and learning in the digital age. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 5(1), 223–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2020.1816847>
- Bryson, J. J., & Theodorou, A. (2019). How society can maintain human-centric artificial intelligence. In *The ethics of artificial intelligence: Balancing opportunity and risk* (pp. 305–323). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7725-9_16
- Cao, Y., Li, S., Liu, Y., Yan, Z., Dai, Y., Yu, P. S., & Sun, L. (2023). *A comprehensive survey of AI-generated content (AIGC): A history of generative AI from GAN to ChatGPT*. arXiv. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04226>
- Carbonell, J. (1970). AI in CAI: An artificial-intelligence approach to computer-assisted instruction. *IEEE Transactions on Man-Machine Systems*, 11(4), 190–202. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMMS.1970.299942>
- Celik, I., Dindar, M., Muukkonen, H., & Järvelä, S. (2022). The promises and challenges of artificial intelligence for teachers: A systematic review of research. *TechTrends*, 66(4), 616–630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-022-00715-y>
- Chen, L., Chen, L., Chen, G., Chen, P., Chen, P., Lin, Z., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2988510>
- Cheung, S. K. S., Kwok, L. F., Phusavat, K., & Yang, H. H. (2021). Shaping the future learning environments with smart elements: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00254-1>
- Chew, E., & Chua, X. N. (2020). Robotic Chinese language tutor: Personalising progress assessment and feedback or taking over your job? *On the Horizon*, 28(3), 113–124. <https://doi.org/10.1108/OTH-04-2020-0015>

- Choudhury, A. R. (2024, March 17). *Singapore's Smart Nation 2.0 policy focuses on AI and building resilience*. GovInsider. <https://govinsider.asia/intl-en/article/singapores-smart-nation-20-policy-focuses-on-ai-and-building-resilience>
- Chuah, K.-M., & Kabilan, M. K. (2021). Teachers' views on the use of chatbots to support English language teaching in a mobile environment. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 16(20), 223. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i20.24917>
- Cui, Y., Ma, Z., Wang, L., Yang, A., Liu, Q., Kong, S., & Wang, H. (2023). A survey on big data-enabled innovative online education systems during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, 8(1), 100295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100295>
- Dede, C. (1986). A review and synthesis of recent research in intelligent computer-assisted instruction. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 24(4), 329–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7373\(86\)80050-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7373(86)80050-5)
- Dimitriadou, E., & Lanitis, A. (2023). A critical evaluation, challenges, and future perspectives of using artificial intelligence and emerging technologies in smart classrooms. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00231-3>
- Dogan, M. E., Dogan, T. G., & Bozkurt, A. (2023). The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in online learning and distance education processes: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Applied Sciences*, 13(5), 3056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13053056>
- Druga, S., Otero, N., & Ko, A. J. (2022). The landscape of teaching resources for AI education. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM conference on innovation and technology in computer science education* (Vol. 1, pp. 96–102). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3502718.3524782>
- Ferster, B. (2022). Intelligent tutoring systems. In *Intelligent tutoring systems* (pp. 1–20). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781138609877-REE6-1>
- García-Martínez, I., Fernández-Batanero, J. M., Fernández-Cerero, J., & León, S. P. (2023). Analysing the impact of artificial intelligence and computational sciences on student performance: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 12(1), 171. <https://doi.org/10.7821/naer.2023.1.1240>
- Gardner, J., O'Leary, M., & Yuan, L. (2021). Artificial intelligence in educational assessment: 'Breakthrough? or buncombe and ballyhoo?' *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 37(5), 1207–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12577>
- Gilbert, E. J. (2021). Equitable AI. In *Extended abstracts of the 2021 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 1–2). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3457780>
- Gillani, N., Eynon, R., Chiabaut, C., & Finkel, K. (2023). Unpacking the 'black box' of AI in education. *Educational Technology and Society*, 26(1), 99–111. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/48707970>
- Gligorea, I., Cioca, M., Oancea, R., Gorski, A.-T., Gorski, H., & Tudorache, P. (2023). Adaptive learning using artificial intelligence in e-learning: A literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(12), 1216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13121216>
- Granter, S. R., Beck, A. H., & Papke, D. J. (2017). AlphaGo, deep learning, and the future of the human microscopist. *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*, 141(5), 619–621. <https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2016-0471-ED>
- Hahn, M. G., Baldiris Navarro, S. M., De La Fuente Valentin, L., & Burgos, D. (2021). A systematic review of the effects of automatic scoring and automatic feedback in educational settings. *IEEE Access*, 9, 108190–108198. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3100890>
- Hashim, S., Omar, M. K., Ab Jalil, H., & Mohd Sharef, N. (2022). Trends on technologies and artificial intelligence in education for personalized learning: Systematic literature review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v11-i1/12230>
- Horvatić, D., & Lipic, T. (2021). Human-centric AI: The symbiosis of human and artificial intelligence. *Entropy*, 23(3), 332. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e23030332>
- Huang, A. Y. Q., Lu, O. H. T., & Yang, S. J. H. (2023). Effects of artificial intelligence-enabled personalized recommendations on learners' learning engagement, motivation, and outcomes in a

- flipped classroom. *Computers and Education*, 194, 104684. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104684>
- Ifenthaler, D., & Schumacher, C. (2023). Reciprocal issues of artificial and human intelligence in education. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 55(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2022.2154511>
- Jeon, I.-S., Jun, S., & Song, K.-S. (2020). *Teacher training program and analysis of teacher's demands to strengthen artificial intelligence education*. Semantic Scholar. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:225328566>
- Kabudi, T., Pappas, I., & Olsen, D. H. (2021). AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systematic mapping of the literature. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100017>
- Karadag, I., Güzelci, O. Z., & Alaçam, S. (2023). EDU-AI: A twofold machine learning model to support classroom layout generation. *Construction Innovation*, 23(4), 898–914. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-02-2022-0034>
- Kizilcec, R. F. (2023). To advance AI use in education, focus on understanding educators. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-023-00351-4>
- Kulik, J. A., & Fletcher, J. D. (2016). Effectiveness of intelligent tutoring systems. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(1), 42–78. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315581420>
- Labadze, L., & Grigolia, M. (2023). Role of AI chatbots in education: Systematic literature review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00426-1>
- Lee, J., & Jeong, H. (2023). Keyword analysis of artificial intelligence education policy in South Korea. *IEEE Access*, 11, 102408–102417. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3317261>
- Liu, Y., Sun, P., Wergeles, N., & Shang, Y. (2021). A survey and performance evaluation of deep learning methods for small object detection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 172, 114602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114602>
- Machmud, M. T., Widiyan, A. P., & Ramadhani, N. R. (2021). The development and policies of ICT supporting educational technology in Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia, and Myanmar. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 10(1), 78. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i1.20786>
- Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013–2025. (2013).
- Malaysia National Artificial Intelligence Roadmap 2021–2025. (2021).
- Ministry of Education Singapore. (2023). *EdTech masterplan 2030*. Ministry of Education Singapore. <https://www.moe.gov.sg/education-in-sg/educational-technology-journey/edtech-masterplan>
- Minn, S. (2022). AI-assisted knowledge assessment techniques for adaptive learning environments. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100050>
- Mohd Rahim, N. I., A. Iahad, N., Yusof, A. F., & Al-Sharafi, M. A. (2022). AI-based chatbots adoption model for higher-education institutions: A hybrid PLS-SEM-Neural network modelling approach. *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12726. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912726>
- Mohd Nasir, H., Brahin, N. M. A., Sani, F. E. M., Mispan, M. S., & Abd Wahab, N. H. (2023). AI educational mobile app using deep learning approach. *JOIV: International Journal on Informatics Visualization*, 7(3), 952–958. <https://doi.org/10.30630/joiv.7.3.1247>
- Nwana, H. S. (1990). Intelligent tutoring systems: An overview. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00168958>
- Ojha, S., Narendra, A., Mohapatra, S., & Misra, I. (2023). *From robots to books: An introduction to smart applications of AI in education (AIEd)*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10026v1>
- Olari, V., & Romeike, R. (2021). Addressing AI and data literacy in teacher education: A review of existing educational frameworks. In *The 16th workshop in primary and secondary computing education* (pp. 1–2). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3481312.3481351>

- Park, M., & Lim, W. (2023). AI-integrated mathematics education: A review of literature in the Korean context. *The Korean Society of Educational Studies in Mathematics - Journal of Educational Research in Mathematics*, 33(3), 771–795. <https://doi.org/10.29275/jerm.2023.33.3.771>
- Park, E., Ifenthaler, D., & Clariana, R. B. (2023). Adaptive or adapted to: Sequence and reflexive thematic analysis to understand learners' self-regulated learning in an adaptive learning analytics dashboard. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 54(1), 98–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13287>
- Pataranutaporn, P., Danry, V., Leong, J., Punpongsanon, P., Novy, D., Maes, P., & Sra, M. (2021). AI-generated characters for supporting personalized learning and well-being. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 3(12), 1013–1022. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-021-00417-9>
- Perrotta, C., & Selwyn, N. (2020). Deep learning goes to school: Toward a relational understanding of AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 251–269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1686017>
- Rangel-de Lázaro, G., & Duarte, J. M. (2023). You can handle, you can teach it: Systematic review on the use of extended reality and artificial intelligence technologies for online higher education. *Sustainability*, 15(4), 3507. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043507>
- Rebala, G., Ravi, A., & Churiwala, S. (2019). Machine learning definition and basics. In *An introduction to machine learning* (pp. 1–17). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15729-6_1
- Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 26(2), 582–599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-016-0110-3>
- Romero, C., & Ventura, S. (2020). Educational data mining and learning analytics: An updated survey. *WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1355>
- Sarker, I. H. (2021). Deep learning: A comprehensive overview on techniques, taxonomy, applications and research directions. *SN Computer Science*, 2(6), 420. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00815-1>
- Schiff, D. (2021). Out of the laboratory and into the classroom: The future of artificial intelligence in education. *AI and Society*, 36(1), 331–348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01033-8>
- Schunk, D. H. (2012). *Learning theories: An educational perspective*. Pearson.
- Shaik, T., Tao, X., Li, Y., Dann, C., McDonald, J., Redmond, P., & Galligan, L. (2022). A review of the trends and challenges in adopting natural language processing methods for education feedback analysis. *IEEE Access*, 10, 56720–56739. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3177752>
- Shanmugam, K., Zainal, N. K., & Gnanasekaren, C. (2019). Technology foresight in the virtual learning environment in Malaysia. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1228(1), 012068. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1228/1/012068>
- Sharma, R. C., Kawachi, P., & Bozkurt, A. (2019). The landscape of artificial intelligence in open, online and distance education: Promises and concerns. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 14, 1–2. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:213415701>
- Stahl, B. C., & Wright, D. (2018). Ethics and privacy in AI and big data: Implementing responsible research and innovation. *IEEE Security and Privacy*, 16(3), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.2701164>
- Steels, L. (2023). Conceptual foundations of human-centric AI. In M. Chetouani, V. Dignum, P. Lukowicz, & C. Sierra (Eds.), *Human-centered artificial intelligence: Advanced lectures* (pp. 8–35). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24349-3_2
- Stokel-Walker, C., & Van Noorden, R. (2023). What ChatGPT and generative AI mean for science. *Nature*, 614(7947), 214–216. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-00340-6>
- Subashini, P., & Krishnaveni, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence-based assistive technology. In *Artificial intelligence theory, models, and applications* (pp. 217–240). Auerbach Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003175865-13>

- Toosi, A., Bottino, A. G., Saboury, B., Siegel, E., & Rahmim, A. (2021). A brief history of AI: How to prevent another winter (a critical review). *PET Clinics*, 16(4), 449–469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpet.2021.07.001>
- VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.611369>
- Vrontis, D., Christofi, M., Pereira, V., Tarba, S., Makrides, A., & Trichina, E. (2022). Artificial intelligence, robotics, advanced technologies and human resource management: A systematic review. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1237–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2020.1871398>
- Wang, Y. (2021). When artificial intelligence meets educational leaders' data-informed decision-making: A cautionary tale. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69(June), 100872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100872>
- Xiang, A. (2022). Diversity must be at the heart of equitable AI development. *Engineering and Technology*, 17(9), 21–21. <https://doi.org/10.1049/et.2022.0904>
- Yang, H., Shankar, A., & Velliangiri, S. (2023). Artificial intelligence-enabled interactive system modeling for teaching and learning based on cognitive web services. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 19(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jjec.316655>
- Yu, S., & Lu, Y. (2021). Frontiers of AI in education. In *An introduction to artificial intelligence in education*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2770-5_8

Johari Abdullah is serving as an Associate Professor at the Faculty of Computer Science and IT, UNIMAS, Sarawak. He received his Ph.D. (Computing Science) from Newcastle University (UK), his Master of IT from Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia, and Bachelor of Computer Science (Networking) degree from Universiti Putra Malaysia. His interest in ICT is wide and ranging from Trusted System, Blockchain Technology, Web System Design and development, System Architecture, problem solving using tools such as TRIZ, ICT education for children and youth through Computational Thinking, Scratch and Computer Science Unplugged, and also open-source system and software.

Kee Man Chuah is a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Education, Language and Communication, with expertise in the fields of educational technology, computational linguistics, learning sciences and instructional design. He has won numerous awards at national and international levels for his innovative approaches to teaching and learning, as well as assistive technology.

Erlinda Mikal is currently serving as a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Education, Language, and Communication at UNIMAS, Sarawak. She received her Ph.D. in Education with a focus on Curriculum and Instruction from the University of Kansas (US), her Master of Science in Learning Sciences, and her Bachelor of Education (TESL) from Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS). Her research interests include curriculum and instruction, language and education, as well as language and identity.

Syahruil Nizam Junaini is a Professional Technologist and holds MSc in Electronic Imaging and Media Communications from the University of Bradford, UK. Previously he was the Deputy Director (Learning Technology) at the Centre for Applied Learning and Multimedia (CALM), Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. He is also a Senior Lecturer at the Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology (FCSIT), UNIMAS. He is a fellow of UNIMAS Fellowship of Teaching and Learning Advancement (FoTL). He is also the recipient of Teaching Award (Applied Science) at the prestigious National Academic Award (AAN 2017). He also received Malaysia Digital Transformation Awards 2024.