

Article in Press

Promoting mental health among at-risk adolescents in Malaysia (MyHeRo): study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based intervention compared with study skills condition for adolescents identified as at

Received: 24 Dec 2024

Accepted: 04 Dec 2025

Published online: 28 January 2026

Cite this article as: Essau, C., Zakaria, S., Ting, C. *et al.* Promoting mental health among at-risk adolescents in Malaysia (MyHeRo): study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based intervention compared with study skills condition for adolescents identified as at risk for anxiety and depression. *Trials* (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-025-09368-7>

Cecilia Essau, Suwaibah Zakaria, Chuong Ting, Julia Cheng, Alejandro Torre-Luque, Alvin Ng, Hazreen Majid, Helen Dodd, Nik Farid, Noor Muhammad & Suzaily Wahab

We are providing an unedited version of this manuscript to give early access to its findings. Before final publication, the manuscript will undergo further editing. Please note there may be errors present which affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

If this paper is publishing under a Transparent Peer Review model then Peer Review reports will publish with the final article.

Promoting mental **health** among at-risk adolescents in **Malaysia** (MyHeRo): Study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based intervention compared with study skills condition for adolescents identified as at risk for anxiety and depression

¹Cecilia A. Essau, ²Suwaibah Zakaria, ³Chuong Hock Ting, ³Julia Lee Ai Cheng, ⁴Alejandro de la Torre-Luque, ⁵Alvin Lai Oon Ng, ⁶Hazreen Abdul Majid, ⁷Helen Dodd, ⁸Nik Daliana Nik Farid, ⁹Noor Azimah Muhammad, & ⁹Suzaily Wahab

¹University of Roehampton, UK

²Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia

³Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia

⁴Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain

⁵Sunway University, Malaysia

⁶HSchool of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Health Sciences University, UK

⁷University of Exeter, UK

⁸Centre for Population Health, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, Malaysia

⁹Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

Corresponding author

Cecilia A. Essau, PhD, School of Psychology

University of Roehampton, London SW15 4JD

C.Essau@roehampton.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: In Malaysia, adolescent anxiety and depression are increasing faster than ever, and rates of suicidal behaviour are rising especially among those living in deprived communities. However, Malaysia's mental health system lacks manpower to deliver effective interventions. The overall aim of this trial is to use a school-based intervention to promote mental health among at-risk adolescents from low-income communities in Malaysia. Our primary aim is to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based intervention ("Super Skills for Life"; SSL) in reducing anxiety and depression, and in improving mental wellbeing in adolescents aged 12-14 years. We also aim to determine the characteristics of adolescents who benefit from SSL, compared to those who do not, as well as to identify contextual factors related to the successful implementation of SSL in Malaysian schools.

Methods: The design will be a two-arm, cluster randomised controlled trial comparing school-based intervention (Super Skills for Life; SSL) to study skills control condition (Study Skills Programme; SSP) using a 1:1 allocation ratio. Classrooms will be the cluster unit for randomisation. Three stratification factors will be used for randomisation: school size, classes/forms and school location (urban vs rural). The study will recruit adolescents in at least 20 secondary schools in economically deprived, rural and urban regions in Malaysia. These adolescents will be invited to complete a screening questionnaire (i.e., Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale-21; DASS-21). Based on power calculation, 428 adolescents (214 per arm) who experience moderate to severe levels of anxiety and depression on the DASS-21 will be invited to participate in the trial. Classes will be randomly allocated to SSL or SSP, with eligible adolescents from each class receiving the allocated intervention. Assessment will be conducted at screening, at pre- (i.e., baseline) and post-intervention (i.e., 2 months), and at two follow-ups (i.e., 6- and 12-months post-intervention). The primary outcomes will be a reduction in anxiety and depressive symptoms, and an improvement in mental wellbeing at 12-months post-intervention.

Discussion: Findings of this trial will determine if delivering a group school-based intervention by school staff for adolescents at risk of anxiety and depression is effective and cost-effective. The findings will advance understanding of the role of school staff in the delivery of a school-based intervention and will generate new knowledge on the role of socio-cultural and other contextual factors in predicting intervention uptake and treatment outcome.

Trial registration: The ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT07138664, first posted on August 16, 2025.

Keywords: Anxiety, Depression, mental health problems, lifestyles, cognitive behaviour therapy, Prevention, Adolescents, Screening, Schools, At risk, Cost-effectiveness

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Administrative information

Title {1}	Promoting mental health among at-risk adolescents in Malaysia (MyHeRo) : Study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based intervention compared with study skills condition for adolescents identified as at risk for anxiety and/or depression
Trial registration {2a and 2b}.	Trial registration: The ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT07138664 first posted on August 16, 2025.
Protocol version {3}	Version 1, dated August 16, 2025
Funding {4}	This research was supported by the Kavli Trust Programme on Health Research
Author details {5a}	¹ University of Roehampton, UK ² Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia ³ Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia ⁴ Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain ⁵ Sunway University, Malaysia ⁶ Health Sciences University, UK ⁷ University of Exeter, UK ⁸ University of Malaya, Malaysia ⁹ Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
Name and contact information for the trial sponsor {5b}	Lead sponsor: University of Roehampton Research Office, Froebel College

	<p>London SW15 5PJ</p> <p>Representative of the institution's governance team:</p> <p>Dr José Malheiro Magalhães</p>
Role of sponsor {5c}	<p>The sponsor had no role in the study design including with delivery of the trial, writing of this article and the decision to submit it for publication.</p>

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Introduction

Background and rationale {6a}

Mental health problems (MHP) such as anxiety and depression account for 13% of the global burden of disease and affect approximately 20% of adolescents worldwide [1]. The onset of anxiety and depression typically occurs before 14 years of age, and these MHP are associated with poor school performance, alcohol and drug misuse, and suicidal behaviour [1]. If left untreated, adolescent MHP could lead to social exclusion, economic deprivation, risks for poor health, and other adverse life outcomes [1]. In Low- and Middle-income countries (LMICs) such as in Malaysia, adolescents with MHP have limited or no access to effective interventions, stigma hinders help-seeking, and there is a lack of government investment in mental healthcare.

School-based interventions can reduce barriers to accessing treatment such as cost and can circumvent stigma. Targeted school-based interventions are effective in reducing adolescent's MHP and psychosocial impairments, and in improving self-esteem and mental wellbeing [1]. However, almost all trials of targeted school-based interventions have been conducted in high-income countries and there are methodological limitations such as limited long-term follow-up, small sample size, and lack of process evaluation. Thus, there is a need to conduct school-based targeted prevention studies in LMIC such as Malaysia, with improved methodological rigor.

Why Malaysia? Even before the COVID-19 outbreak, between 20-40% of the adolescents in Malaysia had anxiety and depression, and 10% had attempted suicide, exceeding Southeast Asian and global comparators [2]. Adolescent mental health has been described as “the hidden epidemic” [3] in Malaysian society as anxiety and depression are increasing faster than ever, and rates of suicide are rising. Adolescents from low-income families and socially marginalised groups have the highest prevalence of anxiety and depression [2] which is often linked to rapid societal changes, including urbanisation.

Malaysia's mental health system lacks the workforce to deliver effective interventions to handle the surge in anxiety, depression and suicide. In its 2021 national budget, less than 2% of the

country's total budget was allocated to address mental health problems, violence prevention and substance abuse [4]. It is, therefore, not surprising that there are high levels of unmet mental health needs, with between 0.41 to 0.61 mental health workers per 100,000 residents [5]. Furthermore, stigma is a major barrier to help-seeking; the Malaysian word for mental illness is “gila” (crazy or madness) which has a very negative connotation, and suicide as well as attempted suicide have only been decriminalised in May 2023.

What should mental health promotion programmes target? In mental health promotion, adolescents are taught skills to improve positive behaviours which protect mental health. Most programmes use cognitive behaviour therapy-based (CBT) interventions targeting either anxiety or depression. There is strong evidence that lifestyle factors (e.g., physical activities, healthy diet and sleep), benefit mental health [6], but programmes that integrate healthy lifestyle habits within CBT-based interventions are currently lacking. Such a siloed approach fails to recognise the common risk factors and opportunities for a more integrated and resource-efficient approach. Indeed, recent World Health Organisation policy documents (e.g., *Motion for your mind and Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health*) have advocated such integration [7]. Furthermore, little is known about moderators and mediators of intervention and implementation outcomes in school-based interventions targeting mental health conditions such as anxiety and/or depression in LMIC such as Malaysia [8, 9, 10]. Understanding these factors would maximise the effectiveness of interventions and intervention uptake in schools.

Aims and objectives {7}

The aims of this study are to:

- (a) Evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a school-based psychosocial intervention (“Super Skills for Life”; SSL [11]) in reducing anxiety and depression, and in improving mental wellbeing in adolescents aged 12-14 years, in comparison to adolescents who received a control intervention (Study Skills Programme; SSP [12]).

(b) Determine the characteristics of adolescents who benefit from SSL, compared to those who do not.

(c) Identify contextual factors related to the successful implementation of SSL in Malaysian schools.

A protocol to evaluate SSL implementation (i.e., process evaluation).

Primary objective: To determine the effectiveness of SSL in reducing anxiety and depression, and in improving mental wellbeing in adolescents 12 months after receiving SSL, compared to SSP.

Hypothesis: Adolescents in the SSL group will show significant reductions in anxiety and depression, and improvements in mental wellbeing at 12 months, compared to those in the SSP group.

Secondary objectives: (a) To investigate the effectiveness of SSL in reducing psychosocial impairments, suicidal behaviour, and in improving self-esteem, executive function, and social skills. (b) To examine the impact of SSL on adolescent's educational performance. (c) To examine the impact of SSL on adolescent's lifestyle habits. (d) To explore the moderators and mediators of the primary outcomes. (e) To assess the cost-effectiveness of SSL in reducing anxiety and depression, health-related quality of life and cost-utility. (f) To identify processes that influence SSL implementation such as social validity (e.g., uptake, acceptability, feasibility) and factors affecting its delivery (e.g., facilitator's characteristics and the school environment). (g) To evaluate the role of implementation variability in moderating the impact of SSL on outcomes for adolescents. (h) To determine factors associated with sustainability of the intervention in schools.

To address these objectives our research will consist of four stages (Figure 1).

This protocol follows the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) [13] (see Supplementary file: SPIRIT checklist).

Trial design {8}

The trial design will use a cluster randomised controlled trial with two arms comparing SSL to SSP using a 1:1 allocation ratio. Classrooms will be the cluster unit for randomisation. This design has been selected to prevent potential imbalances in group allocation arising from school-related effects. The randomization procedure will be conducted by an independent statistician.

Three stratification factors will be used for randomisation: school size, classes/forms and school urbanicity. Our initial design was to include the state as a stratification variable, however, this was changed due to recruitment and screening pace across the three states. Adolescents, aged 12 to 14 years, studying in Form 1 and Form 2 (i.e., the first two years of secondary school) will be invited to participate in screening. Adolescents who experience moderate to severe levels of anxiety and/or depression are eligible to participate in the trial.

Assessment will be conducted at five assessment points: screening, baseline (pre-intervention), and at 2 months, as well as at 6- and 12-months post-intervention. Except for the screening which will be conducted by the study research assistants, the trial outcome assessments will be conducted by trained independent assessors.

Process evaluation will also be examined by conducting qualitative interviews to explore adolescent's experiences of taking part in the intervention and school staff's (i.e., SSL facilitators) experiences of delivering the intervention. SSL facilitators will be interviewed to identify factors that affect the delivery of SSL (e.g., school environment, social validity of SSL).

Methods: participants, interventions, and outcomes**Study setting {9}**

All data collection will take place in Malaysia, a culturally diverse country in Southeast Asia with an estimated population of 33 million, with 42% of its population being younger than age 25. The study is planned to be conducted in 20 secondary schools in rural and urban areas of predominantly

economically deprived regions in the Malaysian states of Sarawak, Sabah and Selangor. These states are chosen because adolescents in these areas have been reported to have high prevalence of anxiety and depression [2] and they represent different regions in Malaysia.

Eligibility criteria {10}

Inclusion criteria

(1) Adolescent (aged 12–14) who are in the first two years (Form 1 and Form 2, equivalent to year 7 and 8 in the United Kingdom school system, respectively) in lower secondary schools. We have chosen this age range because it is a period of rapid behavioural, cognitive and neural development, during which time mental health promotion programmes may have a maximal impact [14]. Adolescence is the peak age of onset of anxiety and depressive disorders [1] and is the stage at which lifestyle habits are developed [6]. In Malaysian schools, this age group has been reported to have the highest rate of mental health problems and suicidal attempts [2]. Furthermore, having recently transitioned from primary to secondary school, adolescents in this age group are exposed to new social and structural environments, especially those who live in the remote areas as they move for the first time away from their parents to live in a boarding school.

(2) Adolescents score moderate to severe levels of anxiety and/or depression on the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale-21 (DASS-21) based on the original cut-off norms (DASS-21 Anxiety scale ≥ 10 and/or DASS-21 Depression scale ≥ 14) as proposed by the authors of DASS-21 [15]. DASS-21 is a reliable and widely used scale in adolescent research in Malaysia, including the National Adolescent Survey that involved more than 30,000 adolescents [2].

(3) Adolescent's parent/carer provides written consent.

Exclusion criteria

(1) Being diagnosed with neurodevelopmental disorders.

(2) Being diagnosed with intellectual disability.

Who will take informed consent? {26a}

When the inclusion and exclusion criteria for eligibility to participate in the screening and the trial are met, the study Research Assistant will obtain written consent from the parents/carers and assent from the adolescents under the supervision of the project's co-investigator at the respective site. The adolescents and their parents/carers will receive information about the study and will be given sufficient time to consider participation. Informed written consent from the parent and informed assent from the adolescent will be obtained prior to the inclusion in the study.

To ensure that stigmatisation of the adolescents taking part in this research does not occur, participants were invited to participate in a programme which has been reported to enhance adolescent's emotional well-being and social skills. Participants will furthermore be informed that in this programme, they will be taught specific strategies including: identifying activities to increase self-esteem, using coping skills (e.g., relaxation), and various social skills (e.g., communication skills).

Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens {26b}

Not applicable. No biological samples will be collected.

Interventions**Explanation for the choice of comparators {6b}**

We have chosen this active control condition (i.e., Study Skills Programme [12]) because we want all adolescents to benefit from participating in our research. The high rates of MHP among adolescents in Malaysia have been found to be associated with school-related factors (e.g., exam stress, pressure to do well academically) [2], thus, it is possible that SSP would help to alleviate anxiety and depressive symptoms. Furthermore, the adolescents with moderate-to-severe symptoms of depression or anxiety in Kenya have shown some positive effects of SSP in their MHP [12].

Active compared to passive control conditions also provide a more rigorous standard of comparison [12].

Intervention description {11a}

Super Skills for Life (SSL)

SSL [11] is a manualized psychosocial intervention for preventing anxiety and depression and for promoting healthy lifestyles, which is based on the principles of cognitive behavioural therapy. SSL focuses on: (1) promoting healthy lifestyles; (2) building emotional resilience through stress management; (3) encouraging peer learning and building peer networks; and (4) promoting self-confidence and social skills. These key elements are organized over 8 weekly sessions in a group format (45 minutes per session) that address the following topics: Healthy lifestyle; Enhancing self-esteem; Feelings and thoughts; Thoughts-Feelings-Behaviour; Managing stress; Relationship and social skills; Problem-solving steps; and Hopeful future.

SSL materials include: (a) Facilitator Manual: Gives step-by-step instructions on how to implement each session of SSL. (b) Adolescent Workbook: Contains main messages of each session, exercises, activities, role plays, and homework.

The SSL material have been translated into several languages (including in Bahasa Malaysia) and adapted to different cultures. The intervention has already been adapted to a Malaysian sociocultural milieu and implemented in Malaysian adolescents [16].

Control condition: Study Skills Programme (SSP) [12] will be used as a control condition. SSP is a modified version of the Study Skills control intervention, developed by Osborn and colleagues [12]. In order to make it comparable to the SSL, the SSP was expanded from the original 4 to 8 sessions. SSP will be implemented in a group format (45 minutes per session) in 8 weekly sessions, covering: note-taking skills, effective study strategies, reading techniques, concept mapping, and time management.

Intervention facilitators: The facilitators will be staff members (e.g., teachers, school counsellors) of the participating schools who will be randomly assigned to facilitate either the SSL or the SSP groups (and given appropriate training, see below) to minimize differences in competence and leadership style. They will be blind to the study design and hypotheses.

Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions {11b}

The study is not expected to cause any harm or severe worsening of mental health problems. Thus, there will be no criteria for discontinuing the allocated intervention or modifying the allocated intervention. However, the Independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee will advise the Trial Steering Committee on whether there are any ethical or safety reasons why the trial should not continue. The Trial Steering Committee will consider the advice of the Independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee and make a final decision on whether these recommendations should be implemented.

Furthermore, all participants (adolescents or their parents) may withdraw their consent at any timepoint without giving any reasons. If they withdraw, their data may still be used in de-identified form as part of an aggregated data set.

Strategies to improve adherence to interventions {11c}

Facilitator training

All facilitators will participate in a mandatory 20-hour in person training and supervision to ensure quality control and adherence code.

SSL facilitators: The workshop will cover topics related to anxiety and depression, and their risk factors, and principles of prevention. At the end of this training, facilitators will roleplay the delivery of SSL with research staff members during which they are required to adequately deliver 80% of key components for each session before implementing the SSL in the school. For the first group of adolescents that the facilitators will deliver the SSL to, they will be accompanied by our

research staff member who will offer support to adhere to the study protocol as needed. Following successful completion of this first training step, facilitators will independently deliver the SSL to the at-risk adolescents.

SSP facilitators: The workshop will cover topics related to study skills such as note-taking effective study strategies, reading techniques, concept mapping, and time management skills.

Both the SSL and SSP workshops will also cover organization and ethical issues in running a group intervention with adolescents, and group leadership and group process skills.

Fidelity monitoring

To ensure that the facilitators adhere to the intervention sessions, an independent assessor will observe the sessions across participating schools. The assessor will use a structured observation scale to assess fidelity to the intervention protocol. Any significant deviations identified during these observations will be communicated to the project lead and/or the study site Co-Investigator, who will, in turn, provide targeted feedback to the relevant facilitators to support consistent delivery of the intervention.

In addition, while the weekly check-ins with the trial manager are intended to support logistical aspects of the trial, fidelity-related issues which will be flagged by the independent assessors will be also discussed during these check-ins as needed.

Relevant concomitant care permitted or prohibited during the trial {11d}

Throughout the trial, the participants are allowed to seek medical or other forms of care as needed. At the 12-month follow-up, the adolescents will be asked whether they have used additional services, and this will be controlled for in the analysis.

Provisions for post-trial care {30}

No post-trial care will be provided as a part of this study. However, our research team will maintain contact with the teacher in-charge of this research in each respective school in the event that any post-trial care is needed. Furthermore, at the end of the study, each participant will be given a debrief form which includes contact details of organisations that offer mental health support to adolescents. The debrief form also has researcher's contact information if the participants have any questions about their participation.

Outcomes {12}

Screening measures

Adolescent's severity of anxiety and depressive symptoms will be assessed using the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale-21 (DASS-21) [15]. The DASS-21 consists of 21 items which are divided equally across the anxiety, depressive and stress subscales, i.e., with each subscale containing seven items. Participants are asked to indicate how much each item apply to them over the past week on a 4-point Likert Scale (range from 0 [did not apply to me at all] to 3 [applied to me very much or most of the time]). The total severity score of each subscale will be calculated by summing all the 7 items. Based on the cut-off norms recommended by the authors of the DASS [15], adolescents who either endorse moderate to severe depressive (raw score ≥ 14 on the depression subscale) or anxiety symptoms (raw score ≥ 10 on the anxiety subscale) will qualify for the trial. DASS-21 is a reliable and widely used scale (open access) in adolescent research in Malaysia, including the National Adolescent Survey that involved more than 30,000 adolescents [2]. A cross-country study [17] with a sample of Malaysian adolescents revealed adequate reliability indexes, with Cronbach's alpha values from .74 (Anxiety scale) to .82 (Depression scale). A recent study using the Malay version of the DASS-21 showed Cronbach's alpha values of 0.95, 0.85 and 0.87 respectively for Depression, Anxiety and Stress domains [18].

Additional measures

In addition to the screening measure (DASS-21), the participants will complete a set of questionnaires:

Socio-demographic information: A bespoke sociodemographic questionnaire will be used to collect participant socio-demographic information at screening such as age, year group, ethnicity, gender, number of people living in household). Other information collected during Stage II will include the school size, number of classes, and school location (i.e., rural or urban areas).

Teenage Executive Functioning Inventory (TEXI) will be used to measure working memory and inhibition [20]. It contains 20 items which can be rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (“definitely not true”) to 5 (“definitely true”).

Lifestyle habits (frequent physical activity and exercise, enough sleep and sleep hygiene, and healthy food consumption) will be measured using a modified version of the Lifestyle and Habits Questionnaire (LHQ-B) [21]. It contains 16 items, and adolescents are asked about how frequently they perform each behaviour in the past 30 days, on a 5-point scale from “never” to “always”.

The Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents [22] will be used to measure adolescent’s perceived academic stress. Its 16 statements can be rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree”. This scale has five factors: “Pressure from study”, “Workload”, “Worry about grades”, “Self-expectation stress” and ‘Despondency’.

School Connectedness Scale [23] will be used to adolescents’ feelings about their school and teachers. Its 5 items can be rated on a five-point response format ranging from 0 (Strongly disagree) to 4 (Strongly agree). Higher scores indicate higher level of school connectedness. The scale showed adequate psychometric properties in Malaysian adolescents (composite reliability index = .89) [24].

A modified version of The Mental Health Knowledge Schedule (MAKS) [25, 26] will be used to measure adolescent's knowledge of mental health problems. Following Goodfellow and colleagues [26], only two factors will be used in the present study. The first factor is related to knowledge of treatment efficacy and consists of two items (i.e., medication and psychotherapy). The second factor will be used to measure adolescent's ability to identify mental health problems and consists of three items (schizophrenia, depression, bi-polar disorder). Items are measured on a 5-point scale (1 = 'strongly disagree' to 5 = 'strongly agree'). The Malay version of MAKS has been reported to show satisfactory Cronbach's alpha score at 0.62 [27].

The General Help Seeking Questionnaire (GHSQ) [28] will be used to measure intended help-seeking from both formal (teacher; mental health professional) and informal (i.e., friend; parent; other family member/relative) services. It contains 10 items which can be rated from 1 (extremely unlikely to seek help) to 7 (extremely likely). The Malay GHSQ has been reported to have a satisfactory internal reliability, with Cronbach's Alpha of 0.85 [29].

Trial measures

Primary outcome: The two primary outcomes are: (1) reduction in anxiety and depressive symptoms, and (2) improvement in mental wellbeing at 12 months post-intervention, chosen for their clinical relevance and public health importance.

Anxiety and depression are highly prevalent among adolescents and contribute significantly to functional impairment and reduced quality of life. Symptom reduction is therefore a key indicator of intervention efficacy and aligns with clinical priorities to address the most immediate aspects of mental ill-health. Mental wellbeing reflects positive functioning, life satisfaction, and resilience, offering a complementary perspective to symptom reduction. This aligns with the dual continuum model of mental health, which recognises wellbeing as distinct from the absence of mental illness.

Assessment at 12 months post-intervention enables evaluation of the sustained impact of the program, determining whether benefits are maintained over time and integrated into participants' daily lives.

Anxiety and depression as measured by the RCADS [13], and mental wellbeing as measured by the The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) [30], will be administered at baseline, and 2-months as well as at 6- and 12-months post-intervention.

The Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale [19] will be used to identify symptoms of anxiety and depressive disorder in adolescents. It contains 6 items for measuring anxiety and 5 items for depression symptoms, which are to be rated on a 4-point Likert Scale from 0 ("never") to 3 ("always"). Although a validation study is lacking for Malaysian adolescents, results from studies in ASEAN countries and in countries [31] with similar cultural background have shown satisfactory reliability levels (Cronbach's alpha > .71).

A Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale [30] will be used to measure mental wellbeing. All its 7 items are worded positively and cover feeling and functioning aspects of mental wellbeing. The items can be rated on a 5-point scale from 1 (none of the time) to 5 (all of the time). The Malay version of the WEMWBS showed adequate psychometric properties in Malaysian young adults (Cronbach's alpha = .91) [32].

Secondary outcomes will be the change from baseline scores (total scores or means) of the following assessments on the following domains:

(a) Psychological domains:

Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (CERQ) [33] will be used to measure emotion regulation strategies. It consists of 18 items, which can be rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always) in response to how often each of the 9 emotion

regulation strategies are used: refocus on planning, putting into perspective, acceptance, positive refocusing, positive reappraisal, self-blame, other blame, rumination, and catastrophizing. The CERQ showed adequate reliability in Malaysian adolescents with problematic drug use (Cronbach's $\alpha = .84$). [34].

The Paykel Suicide Scale (PSS) [35] will be used to measure suicidal behaviour. The scale consists of 5 items, with a dichotomous response system, i.e., Yes or No. Although the instrument has not been validated for Malaysian adolescents, adequate reliability indexes are found for the PSS in other countries (Cronbach's $\alpha > .80$) [36, 37].

The Social Skills Questionnaire [38] will be used to assess the participant's social skills. The original scale has been adapted to the Malaysian context, which consists of 13 items that can be rated of a 3-point Likert scale ranging from not true (0) to mostly true (2).

(b) Educational domains

Information about the participant's academic grade and school attendance will be obtained from the school.

(c) Mental skills

Teenage Executive Functioning Inventory (TEXI) [20] will be used to measure working memory and inhibition. It contains 20 items which can be rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("definitely not true") to 5 ("definitely true"). The instrument showed adequate reliability levels in adolescents (Cronbach's $\alpha .85-.86$ for the scales).

Executive function will also be measured using milliseconds experimental task to measure attention control and working memory [39].

(d) Lifestyle habits

Lifestyle habits (physical activity and exercise, sleep and sleep hygiene, and type of food consumption) will be measured using a modified version of the Lifestyle and Habits Questionnaire (LHQ-B) [21]. It contains 16 items which ask adolescents about how frequently they perform each behaviour in the past 30 days on a 5-point scale from “never” to “always”. The LHQ-B showed adequate reliability in Malaysian adolescents with problematic drug use (Cronbach’s alpha = .87) [34].

Moderators of primary outcome

Potential moderators of primary outcome at 12-month will be measured at pre-intervention as we are interested to determine the role of confounding factors in the relationship between the SSL delivery and changes in the primary outcomes. For further description on moderation effects, see Baron and Kenny [40].

(a) Adolescent level: adolescent gender, age, lifestyle habits, self-esteem, and academic stress.

Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES) [41] will be used to measure global self-worth. Three out of 10 items will be used in the present study, which can be rated on a 4-point Likert scale format ranging from 4 (strongly agree) to 1 (strongly disagree). The RSES showed satisfactory reliability in adolescents from Malaysia (Cronbach’s alpha = .74) [42].

The Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents (ESSA) [22] will be used to measure adolescent’s perceived academic stress. Its 16 statements can be rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree”. This scale has five factors: “Pressure from study”, “Workload”, “Worry about grades”, “Self-expectation stress” and ‘Despondency’. This scale does not have a validated version for Malaysian adolescents. However, the instrument has shown adequate reliability indexes in adolescents from Asian countries (Cronbach’s alpha > .80) [43, 44].

A modified version of the Lifestyle and Habits Questionnaire (LHQ-B) [21] will be used to measure lifestyle habits (frequent physical activity and exercise, enough sleep and sleep hygiene, and healthy food consumption). It contains 16 items, and adolescents are asked about how frequently they perform each behaviour in the past 30 days, on a 5-point scale from “never” to “always”.

(b) School level: school size, school climate, and location.

School Connectedness Scale [23] will be used to adolescents’ feelings about their school and teachers. Its 5 items can be rated on a five-point response format ranging from 0 (Strongly disagree) to 4 (Strongly agree). Higher scores indicate higher level of school connectedness. The scale showed adequate psychometric properties in Malaysian adolescents (composite reliability index = .89) [45].

(c) Facilitator level: The SSL facilitators will be asked to complete a set of questionnaires to measure their self-efficacy, and confidence level and attitudes towards evidence-based intervention.

- Evidence-based Practice Attitude Scale for Teachers (S-EBPAS) [46] will be used to measure facilitators’ attitudes toward the adoption of evidence-based practices (EBPs). It contains 13 items that covers four attitude domains: Appeal (i.e., the intuitive appeal of the new practices); Openness (i.e., being open to new practices); Fit (i.e., teacher’s perception as to whether EBP fit with their teaching philosophy and their student’s need); and Burden (i.e., the degree to which a teacher perceives the EBP to add burden). The S-EBPAS has showed satisfactory reliability in a sample of geographically diverse mental health providers (Cronbach’s alpha = .79) [47].
- Self-Efficacy Scale, designed specifically for this project, will be used to measure facilitator’s perceptions in their overall ability to deliver SSL in their school.
- Confidence level in delivering SSL (e.g., of questions: “How confident are you facilitating the SSL to a group of adolescents in your school?” “How much do you know about SSL, in terms

of its principles, rationale, and content?” “How confident were you in your ability to lead/support/make decisions about the SSL program?”). The facilitators will be asked to rate on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from “not at all confident” (0) to “extremely confident” (4) their level of confidence in their skills, knowledge, experience, ability to overcome existing obstacles such as limited time, space.

Health economic outcomes

Costs and cost-effectiveness will be assessed at baseline and at 12 months. For this purpose, adolescents and their parent will be asked to complete the Child Health Utility and the Self-reported European Quality of Life Five Dimension, respectively. The parent will also be interviewed using the Client Service Receipt Inventory.

(a) Questionnaire for adolescents

The Child Health Utility (CHU9D) [48] will be used to measure health-related quality of life. It consists of 9 domains (worry, sadness, pain, tiredness, annoyance, school, sleep, daily routine and activities), and within each dimension, there are five different levels with increasing levels of severity.

(b) Questionnaire/interview for parent

Self-reported European Quality of Life Five Dimension (EQ-5D-3L) [49] will be used to measure parent's quality of life, cost-utility of healthcare interventions, and computation of quality-adjusted life years. It consists of two components: a health descriptive component and a visual analog scale (VAS). The descriptive component evaluates five items related to health which include mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each item can be rated on a 5-point scale, ranging from no problems to extreme problems. The VAS is a straight line ranging from 0 (the worst imaginable health) to 100 (the best imaginable health) that people can define their

current health status on it. The EQ-5D has been extensively applied in Malaysian population, with adequate reliability levels [50, 51].

The parent will be interviewed using the Client Service Receipt Inventory [52] to collect information on cost-related data, by estimating associated costs across healthcare, social care and community settings. It contains five main sections: Background information, household and carer support, healthcare service and resource utilisation, community support, and education and employment. Parent will further be asked about adolescent's frequency and cost of using mental and physical health and social services. Some of the items will be adapted to be in line with the Malaysian health care system.

Implementation Outcomes

Data to measure implementation outcomes (i.e., intervention reach, uptake, acceptability) will be collected by interviewing SSL facilitators. All interviews will be audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.

Participant timeline {13}

Assessments will be administered at screening, baseline (i.e., pre-intervention; T1), 2-months (i.e., post-intervention; T2), and at 6- (T3) and 12-months (T4) post-intervention (see Table 1). We will use mixed methods (questionnaire, interview, experimental task) to evaluate the primary and secondary outcomes, as well as health economic and implementation outcomes, as detailed above. Outcome assessments will be conducted by trained independent assessors.

Sample size {14}

Our target sample size is 428 adolescents, aged 12-14 years, who experience moderate to severe levels of anxiety and/or depression on the DASS-21 [15] during Stage II (screening stage).

For a priori sample size calculation, the main analysis will focus on longitudinal structural equation modeling (SEM) to assess the point-specific levels and trajectory of change of the primary outcomes, according to the study groups. The following parameters were considered: for a priori sample size analysis: (1) the four measurement points: Pre-intervention, post-intervention (at 2 months), and follow-ups at 6 and 12 months; (2) four moderators (exogenous covariates in the context of MLGC): Gender, state of origin, school size, and urbanicity; (3) two latent (endogenous) variables from each primary outcome: A latent intercept and a latent slope for the growth process; (4) statistical power parameters: $\alpha = .05$, and $1 - \beta = .80$. (5) Model fit: Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) $\leq .05$. As a result, a minimum sample of 329 participants is required for the study. All calculations were performed using the semPower package in the R software [53].

For sensitivity analysis, another a priori sample size was conducted under a generalized linear model approach. The following parameters were considered: (1) a binary variable (individuals with reliable reduction in symptoms vs. those who not); (2) medium effect size, OR = 1.5; (3) covariates (e.g., sex, age, state of provenance, size of school, urbanicity), explaining at least $R^2 = .10$; (4) $1 - \beta = .80$, and $\alpha = .05$. The sample size needed is 342 participants. Assuming we have up to a 25% drop-out rate, 428 adolescents will be recruited. The analysis was conducted using the G*Power software, version 3.9.1.7 [54].

Recruitment {15}

School recruitment

A list of secondary schools in the Malaysian states of Sarawak, Sabah and Selangor will be obtained from the online portal of the Ministry of Education to identify eligible schools based on the region (i.e., economically deprived area), school type (government or government-aided secondary schools with Forms 1-2 (i.e., first two years of secondary schools), school size (mid-size school with a maximum of six Form 1 and six Form 2 classes), and school location (i.e., rural and urban areas). Based on these criteria, a new list of secondary schools will be generated.

Following permission from the Malaysian Ministry of Education and the Department of Education in the three participating states (i.e., Sarawak, Sabah, Selangor), schools will be contacted and invited to participate in the proposed study via email or post, and follow-ups will be done via telephone whereby more details about the study will be discussed with the headteachers.

School principals who agree that their schools will participate in the project will nominate a teacher to lead the organisational aspects of the project within the school, including the participant recruitment. Information about the eligible classes (e.g., number of students, class time – i.e., whether it is a morning or afternoon class) will be provided by the schools. Participating schools will be offered payments of no more than £100 to thank them for the time they invest in the study.

Participant recruitment

After the schools agree to participate in the study, parents and adolescents will be informed about the study which will involve screening the adolescents who are at risk of developing anxiety and/or depression. Study information about the screening will be distributed by the school staff to parents/carers of all eligible adolescents. Parents/carers will need to provide written consent for their children to participate in the study.

Adolescents who experience moderate to severe anxiety and/or depression and who fulfil the above stated inclusion and exclusion criteria for the trial will be invited to participate in the intervention study. Information about the related intervention programme (SSL or SSP) together with a parental consent form will be distributed to the parents.

Assignment of interventions: allocation

Sequence generation {16a}

Block randomisation procedures will be followed, considering units of randomisation (classes from schools) for each state independently, to achieve balanced arm assignment across states. After the screening assessment stage, the classes in each school from the same state will be randomised to the

intervention (SSL) or control condition (SSP) in a 1:1 ratio stratified based on three stratification variables: school location (i.e., rural or urban areas), number of classes in the school, and class/form (i.e., form 1 or form 2).

A statistician, independent from the Trial Steering Committee and the research team, will conduct randomisation via a computer-generated algorithm. More concretely, the stratified function from the `splitstackshape` R package (also calling for the `sampling` package) will be used to select a sample of classes which at-risk students will be allocated to the SSL condition, according to the aforementioned stratification variables. The rest of the classes will be assigned to the SSP condition. Randomisation will be done after screening in the participating schools is completed at each state. The result of this randomisation will be passed to the research team in each study site who will then inform the teachers who have been designated to lead this project within their respective school.

Randomisation occurs at the class level to avoid contamination between students in the same class and to align with the practical delivery of the program (e.g., by teacher or classroom).

Knowing the number of eligible (at-risk) students in each class before randomisation is important for feasibility and implementation planning. If a class has only one or two eligible students, it may not be practical to deliver the program to that class alone, given facilitator and teacher workload constraints. Therefore, screening establishes who is eligible, and randomisation determines which group (SSL or SSP) the class is assigned to. When a class has too few eligible students, those students are grouped with others from the same year level who were assigned to the same condition (SSL or SSP), ensuring that program delivery remains feasible while maintaining the integrity of the randomisation process.

Concealment mechanism {16b}

Randomisation will be done by a statistician, who is blind to screening procedures and participant personal data, after screening in the participating schools is completed. Based on this randomisation, the class will either receive SSL or SSP. Participants in each class, and their parents will be made aware of their randomisation arm via their class teacher.

Implementation {16c}

A statistician who is not involved in the screening process will generate the allocation sequence and assign classes to either the SSL or SSP. Trained independent assessors who will be conducting the outcomes assessments will be masked to classes' condition assignment.

Assignment of interventions: Blinding**Who will be blinded {17a}**

The participants and the intervention facilitators cannot be blinded about the intervention condition as they will be informed about intervention allocation. Outcome assessments for the trial will be conducted by trained independent assessors who will be blind to the intervention arm. Assessors will be graduates in psychology, or social work, or counselling who had training in youth mental health. The participants will be asked not to reveal their trial arm during the assessment. Study statistician will be blind to class trial arm until the end of the primary outcome analyses.

Procedure for unblinding if needed {17b}

None of the assessors who conduct the outcome assessments will be unmasked. Unmasking of the assessors will only occur if they will no longer conduct the outcome assessments.

Data collection and management**Plans for assessment and collection of outcomes {18a}**

Screening assessment will be conducted by the research assistants at each study site. All questionnaires will be administered using Qualtrics. Adolescents will be provided with a Qualtrics link, and they will complete the questionnaires online in a designated room in their school. A research assistant will be present to provide assistance if necessary and to ensure independent responding.

Trial outcome assessments will be conducted by trained independent assessors at four different timepoints: immediately prior to the start of the intervention (i.e., baseline), immediately following completion of the 8 programme sessions (i.e., post-intervention at 2 months), and at two follow-ups (i.e., 6 and 12 months at post-intervention). Independent assessors will collect all questionnaire data using Qualtrics and conduct the interview to access process evaluation and implementation outcomes.

The adolescents will complete the questionnaires online on Qualtrics in a designated room in their school, with trained independent assessors present to provide assistance if necessary and to ensure independent responding. To ensure data quality, we will use Qualtrics built-in features to promote data accuracy, including Response validation and forced-response settings on key items to minimise missing or invalid data. Furthermore, the independent assessors will monitor response data to identify any patterns suggestive of poor data quality (e.g., unusually fast completions).

Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up {18b}

We will maintain engagement with the participants between assessments by sending newsletters and a dedicated website link about any updates regarding the project to participants.

Data management {19}

The data will be collected and stored in accordance with the study data management plan, and UK data protection laws. Qualtrics platform will be used to collect questionnaires data from participants via online surveys. A unique identity code will be given to each school and participant.

A linking document with ID will be stored on a secure University of Roehampton's cloud drive (OneDrive) which provides secure, encrypted password protected servers with daily backup. Personal data will be securely stored by local researchers in a restricted-access office, with access limited to authorized research team members.

Audio recordings of qualitative interviews will be transferred from the recording device to the University of Roehampton OneDrive until analysis is complete, and then permanently destroyed.

Study statistician will have access to the pseudonymized trial data file on University of Roehampton's cloud drive (SharePoint on OneDrive). These data files will not reveal the name of the class arm.

Confidentiality {27}

All primary data collected in this project will be stored on a secure University of Roehampton's cloud drive (OneDrive), with access restricted to research team members. All research data will be pseudonymized using unique identification numbers and stored without any identifying information such as names, email addresses, and phone numbers. All research data will be retained for 10 years from completion of the study, and this consent form will be retained for six years from completion of the study. Consent forms will be securely stored by local researchers in a restricted-access office, with access limited to authorized research team members.

Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in this trial/future use {33}

Not applicable because no biological specimens will be collected.

Statistical methods

Statistical methods for primary and secondary outcomes {20a}

Primary and secondary clinical outcomes: Statistical analyses will use multi-level regression models controlling for the variables involved in sample stratification (i.e., class/form, school size and location). Robust standard errors will be estimated. The assessment point will be used as the multi-level factor. Analyses will be conducted using the software R and Stata. All analyses will use an

intention-to-treat approach. The statistician, qualitative data analyst and health economist will be blinded to intervention allocation.

Quantitative data: We will complete the CONSORT flow diagram and present outcome measures at all assessment points by arms. Outcome trajectory will be conducted using a multi-group latent growth curve analysis to identify overall outcome trends of study group participants across the measurement points. We will model the course of the quantitative outcomes across assessment points with latent processes comprising two interrelated constructs: intercept (outcome score at each measurement point) and slope (score trends across measurement points). The measurement invariance tradition will be followed to detect potential group-specific differences in latent intercept and slope levels; constrained models are estimated, and their fit will be compared. A better fit of a more constrained model compared to the unconstrained one (i.e., growth model with freely estimated parameters) would be indicative of differences between groups. Different estimates for goodness of fit of each model will be used: the χ^2 -based test, the root mean squared error of approximation (RMSEA; with $RMSEA < .08$ to uphold adequate fit), the comparative fit index (CFI) and the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI; CFI and TLI $\geq .95$ are indicative of good fit); and the standardized root mean squared residual (SRMR; with $SRMR < .08$ to endorse good fit). Incremental RMSEA, CFI and SRMR will be used for measurement invariance comparison. The study group will be considered as a multigroup factor. Four covariates will be included: Gender, state of origin, school size, and urbanicity. Weighted Least Square Mean and Variance estimator will be used for parameter estimation (note that the covariates will not follow a normal distribution). Bootstrapping procedures will be used to estimate robust standard error of estimated parameters. The R^2 will be used as a model effect size estimate.

As a sensitivity analysis, binary logistic regression will be used to study the features of participants who meaningfully reduce their symptom levels (in base of the reliable change index) [55], between the baseline to 8-week post-intervention, and from the baseline and the 12-month

follow-up. A logistic regression will be performed for each primary outcome. As a predictor, the membership to the intervention group and latent means of the intercept and slope variables derived from the secondary outcomes will be used. Moreover, the covariates included will be those related to stratification variables: sex, age, state of provenance, size of school, urbanicity. The odds ratio (OR) will be used as a loading estimate, and the adjusted Cox and Snell R^2 will be used as a model effect size estimate.

Economic outcomes: Costs and cost-effectiveness will be assessed using data collected at baseline and at 12 months. We will take a broad perspective by including costs related to mental and physical health care services at both formal and informal sectors such as traditional and faith healers. Resource use and other economic impacts will be assessed using an adapted version of the Client Service Receipt Inventory [52, 56]. For the secondary economic analysis (i.e., Quality Adjusted Life Years; QALYs), we will use the Child Health Utility 9D [48] and the European Quality of Life Five Dimension (parent self-report) [49]. The SSL sessions will be costed based on the facilitator's on-costs and will include indirect costs such as supervision on-costs. Data on costs will be combined with QALYs to estimate the cost-per-QALY associated with the intervention that will inform the cost-effectiveness analysis. Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) comparing both interventions will be calculated over measurement points. The net benefit regression method will be used to examine cost-effectiveness of the SSL, under the ordinary least-squares estimation method. Analyses will be adjusted for baseline outcome scores, baseline costs, age, sex and location.

Qualitative data: Interviews will be audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis. We will adopt a critical realist perspective [57] which will enable us to draw conclusions about the intervention whilst at the same time accounting for contextual and cultural factors.

Interim analyses {21b}

One interim analysis, to be conducted when one-third of the participants have completed the 6-months follow-up in the trial. The aim of this analysis is to evaluate the safety outcomes for the Independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee. Primary and secondary effectiveness outcomes will not be evaluated in the interim analyses.

Methods for additional analyses (e.g., subgroup analyses) {20b}

Subgroup (sensitivity) analyses for the primary and secondary outcomes will be performed at baseline data to determine potential covariates such as gender, ethnicity, under traditional fixed-effects and mixed-effects models.

Methods in analysis to handle protocol non-adherence and any statistical methods to handle missing data {20c}

Patterns of randomness will be explored regarding missing data. Missing values will be estimated using multiple imputation procedures under random forest algorithm, when missingness rate were below 10% per variable. Sensitivity analyses will be conducted to examine diversions on the distribution of imputed data in comparison to real data distribution.

Plans to give access to the full protocol, participant-level data and statistical code {31c}

We will make de-identified data available five years after the project completion, with some restrictions because of the study's ethics approval. Interested individuals can make reasonable request by contacting the corresponding author.

Process Evaluation: Aims

The overall aim of the process evaluation is to understand how the SSL intervention is implemented across diverse school settings, and to identify factors influencing variation in its outcomes across schools and participants. These insights will inform interpretation of the main trial's findings regarding effectiveness and cost-effectiveness.

The process evaluation will be guided by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) framework for complex interventions [58], which outlines three key components: Implementation, mechanisms of impact, and context. Additionally, two established implementation science frameworks will be used, namely, the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) [59] and the RE-AIM framework [60], which will be used to evaluate implementation outcomes, including reach, effectiveness, adoption, implementation, and maintenance.

Participants

Four stakeholder groups will be involved in the process evaluation:

- Adolescents (12–14 years old)
- School senior management (e.g., principals)
- SSL facilitators (teachers delivering the intervention)
- Independent assessors

Data Sources and Instruments

Four types of data will be collected and triangulated: school data, self-report questionnaires, structured observations, and qualitative interviews.

(1) School-level data: Contextual information (e.g., school size, location, type) will be extracted from the Malaysian Ministry of Education's public database.

(2) Self-report questionnaires: SSL facilitators will complete an online survey post-training via Qualtrics, comprising:

- o Demographics and Practice-Related Items, including conceptualisations of mental health, current practices, perceived needs, and service gaps.
 - o School Evidence-Based Practice Attitude Scale (SEBPAS) [46]: An adaptation of the EBPAS [47] designed for educators. This 13-item scale assesses four domains: intuitive appeal, openness to new practices, perceived fit, and perceived burden.
 - o Self-Efficacy Scale: Adapted from Bandura [61], this scale measures facilitators' confidence in delivering SSL content, overcoming constraints (e.g., limited time/space), and engaging students. Responses use a 5-point Likert scale (0 = not at all confident; 4 = extremely confident).
- (3) Structured observations: Independent assessors who will be trained in the SSL protocol will observe selected SSL sessions using a structured checklist and field notes. Observations will assess fidelity, delivery quality, facilitator style, group dynamics, and student engagement.
- (4) Qualitative interviews:
- o SSL facilitators will be interviewed about their experience delivering SSL, including motivations, challenges, perceived effectiveness, and institutional support.
 - o Adolescents will be asked about their experiences with SSL, perceived benefits, and barriers to participation.

Data Analysis

Quantitative and qualitative data will be analysed independently and subsequently integrated through triangulation, following these steps:

- (a). Findings will be organised into meta-themes aligned with the evaluation objectives, identifying patterns of agreement or divergence.
- (b) A convergence coding matrix will be developed to assess the level and nature of convergence (e.g., agreement, partial agreement, silence, or dissonance) across datasets.
- (c) Through an iterative synthesis process, overarching meta-themes will be generated by integrating qualitative insights with quantitative patterns.

(d) To ensure rigour, all interpretations will be reviewed by multiple researchers. Discrepancies will be resolved through discussion and, where necessary, re-examination of data.

Oversight and monitoring

Composition of the coordinating centre and trial steering committee {5d}

The University of Roehampton (UoR) is the sponsor that will be supporting the successful delivery of this project. The sponsor had no role in the study design including with delivery of the trial, writing of this article and the decision to submit it for publication. The UoR has a well-established management risk and sponsorship procedure and is compliance with the Research Governance Framework. The study will also be conducted in accordance with local Research Governance requirements in Malaysia. To achieve the project objectives, we will operate an efficient management at task level which requires a continuous project progress and external risk monitoring. We have set up the following main committees:

The Project Management Group, including all investigators, will oversee all aspects of the project in their respective study site and report to the Principal Investigator (PI). To ensure all activities are well co-ordinated, the PI and all the Co-Investigators (Co-Is) will have regular online meetings and among local co-investigators in Malaysia and the project's PI, there will be 2 face-to-face meetings per year throughout the project lifecycle.

Independent Trial Steering Committee (TSC) will meet twice a year to monitor the project's progress towards achieving its goals; to advise the investigators on both scientific and management issues; and to make sure that there are no significant deviations from the study protocol. This committee is made up of an independent chair and 7 other members representing teachers, parents, researchers.

The TSC Chair is an academic psychologist whose research focuses on the etiology of concurrent and longitudinal associations between sleep disturbances and various psychological and behavioural difficulties. An independent statistician, with expertise in clinical trials of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for children and adolescents, also serves on the committee.

Youth Advisory Group (YAG): Each of the three Malaysian states study sites (Sarawak, Sabah, and Selangor) established a Youth Advisory Group comprising up to five members aged 12–18 years, including individuals with lived experience. These groups have been actively involved in all stages of the project, including the study design.

Public and service users involvement and engagement

We have worked closely with representatives of public and service users (PSU) from the conception of this research. We will continue to work closely with our PSU group to ensure that the needs of the beneficiaries of the research (i.e., adolescents) is central to the study (see Figure 1).

Composition of the data monitoring committee, its role and reporting structure {21a}

Independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (IDMC) has been set up for this trial and comprises four independent members (including a chair), with expertise in clinical trials, statistical methods and health economic evaluations and clinical psychologist with experience in delivering psychological interventions within schools.

The IDMC will advise the Trial Steering Committee on whether there are any ethical or safety reasons why the trial should not continue. This committee will meet once a year and will have access to unblinded data and will consider the need for any interim analysis.

Adverse events and harms {22}

Adverse events and harms will be monitored throughout the study by the counsellors in each school.

In the event that any participant experiences a serious adverse event and harm such as elevated

suicidal risk during the the study, the Co-I will notify the PI who in turn will notify the sponsor institution's (University of Roehampton) institutional review board within the same 24-hour period of the research team becoming aware of the incident, as well as the Independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee.

Additionally, the emergency protocol for participant risk scenarios (i.e., No risk, Low-risk, Medium risk, High-risk), which was developed by Venturo-Conerly and colleagues [62, 63] will be adapted for the present study. This emergency protocol suggests talking with the adolescents in a sensitive and natural way, and to balance confidentiality and the need to inform the adolescent's teachers. If the harm is considered at high risk, the adolescent's parent will need to be notified.

Frequency and plans for auditing trial conduct {23}

The project is closely monitored by the project's PI. To ensure adherence to the study protocol, all Co-Is, research assistants, independent assessors, and intervention facilitators in the project must commit to following detailed instructions in all stages of the study. Given the robustness of the study protocol to ensure participant safety and data integrity, and the low risk of adverse events, it is considered not necessary to conduct auditing trial visit.

Although no formal auditing trial visit is planned for this low-risk study, procedures will be implemented to ensure the integrity and quality of self-reported data collected from the adolescent participants. Surveys are administered via Qualtrics, which includes built-in features to promote data accuracy: Response validation and forced-response settings will be used on key items to minimise missing or invalid data. Furthermore, during data collection, the independent assessors will conduct routine checks for unusually fast completion times.

Plans for communicating important protocol amendments to relevant parties (e.G. Trial participants, ethical committees) {25}

All changes to the protocol will be submitted for approval by the Institutional Review Board, Malaysian Ministry of Education and the Department of Education in each participating states, and must be approved before being implemented. The changes will be updated on the trial's registration.

Dissemination plans {31a}

The findings of this study will be widely disseminated to relevant stakeholders and become a key reference programme for mental health promotion. To maximise the impact of our dissemination activities we will take the following steps: (a) Stakeholder analysis (i.e., WHO are our relevant stakeholders?) (b) Defining messages and contents (WHAT content and messages should be disseminated?) (c) Defining dissemination channels (HOW should the messages be disseminated?). Input from our User Involvement and Youth Advisory groups will be central to address these questions.

We will communicate the trial findings using lay language to participating schools for publication in school newsletters and/or school websites. Participants and parents will also be provided with these findings via email and/or WhatsApp group. We will also disseminate findings from this research to policy makers, such as the Malaysian Ministry of Education, Department of Education, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Youth and Sports in the participating states (Sarawak, Sabah, Selangor) and to various relevant stakeholders such as the Parent Action Group for Education Malaysia, and those working in the youth mental health services, and school services.

Results of the study will also be widely disseminated to reach academic audiences by publishing them in scientific journals with open access. We will also share the findings through a wide range of channels including conference presentations, study website, social media (e.g., facebook, LinkedIn, and X).

Discussion

This trial seeks to determine the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the school-based psychosocial intervention (SSL) as delivered by school staff in improving the mental health and wellbeing of at-risk adolescents in secondary schools in the Malaysian states of Sarawak, Sabah and Selangor. This study will also identify contextual factors related to the successful implementation of SSL in Malaysian schools.

The innovation potential of this project is far-reaching: (a) We aim to train at least 30 school staff to deliver the SSL. These SSL facilitators will train their peers, disseminate intervention materials, and help build sustainable local capacity for school-based health services. To the best of our knowledge, this project will be the first large randomized-controlled trials using school-based approaches to promote mental health of adolescents from low-income households in Malaysia or in other LMICs. (b) While targeted prevention studies of anxiety or depression in schools exist, they remain limited in number and quality and have not systematically focused on both effectiveness and implementation processes. Our project is amongst the first of its size to focus on both effectiveness and implementation and has the potential to significantly shape the knowledge base in this new area of inquiry. (c) Given the complexity of the school context and differences in support systems among ethnic groups in Malaysia, implementation processes may vary across Malaysia, offering us an opportunity to examine the effect of school factors on effectiveness and implementation outcomes. This will require the use of multi-level analytic methods, which have been underutilized in school-based mental health promotion research but are needed to advance theories that explain multi-level effects. (d) We will use multiple data-gathering methods and participatory approaches, including involving adolescents in planning and dissemination activities. Combining these approaches means that adolescent's voices will be heard, and their experiences reflected in research, which will create new knowledge of immediate value. (e) This project will advance understanding of the role of school staff in the delivery of a school-based intervention. (f) We will generate new knowledge on the role of socio-cultural and other contextual factors in predicting intervention uptake and treatment outcome.

If found to be effective, cost-effective and acceptable, this trial can inform future implementation and scale-up of mental health services in schools in Malaysia and other low resource settings. Within the Malaysian context, our trial could contribute to the government target in reducing adolescent mental health problems below 15% by 2025 [64]. Furthermore, using a school-based programme that is culturally appropriate will help to mitigate inequalities in access to mental health services and help to overcome stigma. Training school staff to facilitate SSL will help to reduce the treatment gap and deliver economic benefit to the health system. In the longer term, these changes should bring benefits to the economy through reduced healthcare costs.

Trial status

School recruitment began in March 2024, and recruitment of participants for screening in some schools began in May 2024 and we anticipate this to continue through to March 2025.

The trial is expected to begin in April 2025 and is expected to continue through autumn of 2025.

Data collection with pre- and post-intervention will finish in late autumn 2025 with the two follow up assessments in spring/summer 2026.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT07138664, first posted on August 16, 2025.

Protocol version: August 16, 2025.

Abbreviations

SSL	Super Skills for Life
SSP	Study Skills programme
MHP	Mental health problems
LMICs	Low- and Middle-income countries
CBT	Cognitive Behaviour Therapy

RCADS	Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale
TEXI	Teenage Executive Functioning Inventory
LHQ-B	Lifestyle and Habits Questionnaire
ESSA	Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents
SCS	School Connectedness Scale
DASS-21	Depression and Anxiety Stress Scale-21
WEMWS	Short Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale
RSES	Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale
CERQ	Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire
PSS	The Paykel Suicide Scale
M-SSQ	Social Skills Questionnaire
EBPAS	Evidence-based Practice Attitude Scale
EBPs	Evidence-based practices
SFS	Self-Efficacy Scale
CHU9D	The Child Health Utility
EQ-5D-3L	Self-reported European Quality of Life Five Dimension
VAS	Visual analog scale
CSRI	Client Service Receipt Inventory
PSU	Public and service users

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participating schools, school representatives, the Malaysian Ministry of Education, and relevant government departments that have contributed to the development of this protocol.

Authors' contributions {25b}

CAE is the project PI, and led the design of the study and the application for funding. CAE oversees all aspects of the project. SZ translated and adapted the questionnaires (from English to Bahasa Malaysia), and the manuals and workbooks for Super Skills for Life and Study Skills programme. CAE led the group that back translated the questionnaires using the recommended translation procedure. CHT and JLAC double-checked the final version of the adapted questionnaires for their content and ease of language understanding. ADT is responsible for creating statistical plans and statistical analyses, including health economic evaluation.

All investigators in Malaysia are responsible for the study coordination and participant recruitment.

All authors contributed towards writing of the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding {4}

This project is funded by the Kavli Trust. The funder has not contributed to the study design, data collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; or the decision to submit reports for publication. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Kavli Trust.

Availability of data and materials {29}

Anonymized data arising from this study will be available five years after the project completion upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Ethics approval and consent to participate {24}

The study (Screening and Trial) has been approved by the (1) University of Roehampton Research Ethics Committee (Reference: PSYC 23/483), (2) Sunway University Research Ethics Committee

(Reference: SUREC 2023/093), (3) Malaysian Ministry of Education (Reference: KPM.600-3/2/3-eras [19064], and KPM.600-3/2/3-eras [21819]).

Written consent will be obtained from parents/carer for the screening and the trial. Written parental consent will also be obtained for qualitative interviews.

Consent for publication {32}

Participants will be informed about findings of the present study will be submitted for publications and presented at academic conferences. The participants will be informed that the information they provide will be treated in confidence by the researcher and that their identity will be protected in the publication of any findings. Participant consent will be collected with regard to data collection, publication of data, and audio/video recording.

Competing interests {28}

CAE is a co-developer of the SSL. CAE and none of the co-authors make any financial gain from the intervention used in this study.

References

1. Fazel M, Hoagwood K, Stephan S, Ford T. Mental health interventions in schools 1: Mental health interventions in schools in high-income countries. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2014 Oct;1(5):377-387. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(14)70312-8.
2. Institute for Public Health. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2017: Adolescent Health Survey 2017. Institute for Public Health, Malaysia.
3. Institute for Public Health. National Health and Morbidity Survey 2019 - Non-communicable diseases, healthcare demand, and health literacy: Key Findings. Ministry of Health Malaysia. 2020.
4. Ministry of Finance Malaysia. Budget 2020. Federal Government Administrative Centre, Putrajaya. 2019.

5. Ministry of Health (2017). Malaysian Mental Healthcare Performance: Technical report 2016. Ministry of Health Malaysia. 2017.
6. Lien N, Lytle LA, & Klepp K-I. Stability in consumption of fruit, vegetables, and sugary foods in a cohort from age 14 to age 21. *Prev. Med.*, 2001. 33, 217–226.
7. World Health Organization. Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health. 2004. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9241592222>. (accessed May 8, 2022).
8. Saw JA, Tam CL, Thanzami V, Bonn G. Contextualized School-Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) Intervention for Malaysian Secondary School Students. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020 Dec 21;11:565896. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.565896
9. Silva Ribeiro, W., Antônio José Grande, AJ, Mauricio Scopel Hoffmann, MS et al. A systematic review of evidence-based interventions for child and adolescent mental health problems in low- and middle-income countries. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*. 2023, Volume 121, 152358, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2022.152358>
10. Alozkan-Sever C, Uppendahl JR, Cuijpers P, de Vries R, Rahman A, Mittendorfer-Rutz E, et al.. Research Review: Psychological and psychosocial interventions for children and adolescents with depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder in low- and middle-income countries - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2023 Dec;64(12):1776-1788. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.13891.
11. Essau, C.A. & Ollendick, T.M. (2013). *Super Skills for Life*. University of Roehampton.
12. Osborn, T.M., et al. (2020). Group Intervention for Adolescent Anxiety and Depression: Outcomes of a Randomized Trial with Adolescents in Kenya. *Behav Ther*, 51, 601-615.
13. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin J, et al. SPIRIT 2013 Explanation and Elaboration: Guidance for protocols of clinical trials. *BMJ*. 2013;346:e7586
14. McIntosh, A.R., et al. (2008) Increased Brain Signal Variability Accompanies Lower Behavioral Variability in Development. *PLoS Comput Biol* 4(7): e1000106.

- 15 Lovibond, S.H. & Lovibond, P.F. (1995). *Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales*. (2nd. Ed.) Sydney: Psychology Foundation. ISBN 7334-1423-0.
16. Yoga Ratnam, K. K., Nik Farid, N. D., Yakub, N. A., & Dahlui, M. (2022). The Effectiveness of the Super Skills for Life (SSL) Programme in Promoting Mental Wellbeing among Institutionalised Adolescents in Malaysia: An Interventional Study. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(15), 9324. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19159324>
17. Mellor, David & Vinet, Eugenia & Xu, Xiaoyan & Mamat, Norul & Richardson, Ben & Roman, Francisca. Factorial Invariance of the DASS-21 Among Adolescents in Four Countries. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*. 2014. 31. 138-142. [10.1027/1015-5759/a000218](https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000218).
18. Musa R & Maskat R. Psychometric Properties of Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21-item (DASS-21) Malay Version among a Big Sample Population. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2020. 8(1). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2269>
19. Radez, J., Waite, P., Chorpita, B. et al. Using the 11-item Version of the RCADS to Identify Anxiety and Depressive Disorders in Adolescents. *Res Child Adolesc Psychopathol*. 2021. 49, 1241–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-021-00817-w>
20. Thorell LB., Lazarević N, Milovanović I, & Bugarski Ignjatović V. (2020). Psychometric properties of the Teenage Executive Functioning Inventory (TEXI): A freely available questionnaire for assessing deficits in working memory and inhibition among adolescents. *Child Neuropsychology*, 26(6), 857–864. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09297049.2020.1726885>
21. Dinzeo, T.J., Thayasivam, U. & Sledjeski, E.M. The Development of the Lifestyle and Habits Questionnaire-Brief Version: Relationship to Quality of Life and Stress in College Students. *Prev Sci*. 2014. 15, 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-013-0370-1>
22. Sun J., Dunne M.P., Hou X., Xu A. Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents. *J. Psychoeduc. Assess.* 2011; 29:534–546. doi: [10.1177/0734282910394976](https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282910394976).

23. Joyce HD, Early TJ. The Impact of School Connectedness and Teacher Support on Depressive Symptoms in Adolescents: A Multilevel Analysis. *Child Youth Serv Rev*. 2014. 1; 39:101-107. doi: 10.1016/j.chilyouth.2014.02.005.
24. Mohd Khatib NA, Roseliza-Murni A, Mohd Hoesni S, Manap J. Adolescent Connectedness: Testing Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Hemingway: Measure of Adolescent Connectedness-Bahasa Melayu Version (HMAC-BM). *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022. 26;19(19):12189. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191912189.
25. Evans-Lacko S, Little K, Meltzer H, Rose D, Rhydderch D, Henderson C, Thornicroft G. Development and psychometric properties of the Mental Health Knowledge Schedule. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2010 Jul;55(7):440-8. doi: 10.1177/070674371005500707.
26. Goodfellow C, Macintyre A, Knifton L, Sosu E. Associations between dimensions of mental health literacy and adolescent help-seeking intentions. *Child Adolesc Ment Health*. 2023 Sep;28(3):385-392. doi: 10.1111/camh.12608.
27. Peh, K.S., Ong, W.H., Low, S.K., Tan, C.S., & Kok, J.K. (2017). The Malay Version of the Mental Health Knowledge Schedule: A Preliminary Study. *Malaysian Journal of Psychiatry* 26(1):p 10-14.
28. Wilson CJ, Deane FP, Ciarrochi J, & Rickwood D. Measuring help-seeking intentions: Properties of General Help Seeking Questionnaire. *Canadian Journal of Counselling*, 2005. 39, 15–28.
29. Wahab, S., Shah, N.E., Sivachandran, S. et al. Attitude Towards Suicide and Help-Seeking Behavior Among Medical Undergraduates in a Malaysian University. *Acad Psychiatry* 45, 672–680 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-021-01513-z>
30. Tennant R, Hiller L, Fishwick R, Platt S, Joseph S, Weich S, Parkinson J, Secker J, Stewart-Brown S. The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS): development and UK validation. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2007 Nov 27;5:63. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-5-63.

31. Lu, W., Daleiden, E., Higa-McMillan, C. et al. Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale: a Psychometric Examination in Chinese Youth. *J Psychopathol Behav Assess* 43, 707–716 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-021-09879-y>
32. Al, A., Bin, H. Bin, A., Husin, M., Bin, M., Mohd Jailani et al. Adaptation, Translation and Validation of The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Acreda Scale (WEMWBS-ACREDA). *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 2016. 34. 1685-1691. 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2016.1685.1691.
33. Garnefski N, Koopman H, Kraaij V, ten Cate R. Brief report: Cognitive emotion regulation strategies and psychological adjustment in adolescents with a chronic disease. *J Adolesc*. 2009 Apr;32(2):449-54. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2008.01.003.
34. Zakaria S, Sasagawa S, & Essau CA. Exploring the impact of a transdiagnostic cognitive behavioural therapy-based intervention on a group of Malaysian adolescents with problematic drug use and emotional problems. *Addictive behaviors reports*. 2021, *14*, 100381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2021.100381>
35. Paykel ES, Myers JK, Lindenthal JJ, Tanner J. Suicidal feelings in the general population: a prevalence study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1974 May;124(0):460-9. doi: 10.1192/bjp.124.5.460.
36. Baños-Chaparro, J., & Ramos-Vera, C. Validity and invariance according to sex and age of the Paykel Scale of Suicide Ideation in Peruvian adolescents. *Interacciones*, 2020, 6(1), e225. <https://doi.org/10.24016/2020.v6n1.225>
37. Fonseca-Pedrero E, Díez-Gómez A, de la Barrera U, et al. Suicidal behaviour in adolescents: A network analysis. *Span J Psychiatry Ment Health*. 2024 Jan-Mar;17(1):3-10. doi: 10.1016/j.rpsm.2020.04.007.
38. Spence SH. *Social skills training: Enhancing social competence and children and adolescents*. 1995. Windsor, UK: The NFER-NELSON Publishing Company Ltd.
39. Burgoyne AP, Tsukahara JS, Mashburn CA, Pak R, & Engle RW. Nature and Measurement of Attention Control. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. Advance online publication. (2023, April 20). <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/xge0001408>

40. Baron, RM, & Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1986, 51(6), 1173–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.51.6.1173>
41. Rosenberg, M. (1965). *Society and the adolescent self-image*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
42. Cong CW, Cheong JY. Validation of rosenberg self-esteem scale for malaysian adolescents. *Current Psychology*, 2023. 42, 17835-17838. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-02960-z>
43. Thai TT, & Loan K, Nguyen D, Dixon J, Sun J, & Dunne M. Validation of the Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents (ESSA) in Vietnam. *Asia-Pacific journal of public health / Asia-Pacific Academic Consortium for Public Health*. 2012. 27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539512440818>
44. Sun J, Dunne MP, Hou X-y, & Xu A-q. Educational stress scale for adolescents: Development, validity, and reliability with Chinese students. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 2011. 29(6), 534–546. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282910394976>
45. Mohd Khatib NA, Roseliza-Murni A, Mohd Hoesni S, & Manap J. Adolescent Connectedness: Testing Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Hemingway: Measure of Adolescent Connectedness-Bahasa Melayu Version (HMAC-BM). *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 2022. 19(19), 12189. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191912189>
46. Merle JL, Cook, CR, Locke JJ, Ehrhart MG, Brown EC, Davis CJ, Lyon AR. Teacher attitudes toward evidence-based practices: Exploratory and confirmatory analyses of the school-adapted evidence-based practice attitude scale. *Implementation Research and Practice*. 2023. 4. <https://doi.org/10.1177/26334895221151026>
47. Aarons GA, McDonald EJ, Sheehan AK, Walrath-Greene CM. Confirmatory factor analysis of the Evidence-Based Practice Attitude Scale in a geographically diverse sample of community

mental health providers. *Administration and policy in mental health*, 2007, 34(5), 465–469.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-007-0127-x>

48. Ratcliffe J, Stevens K, Flynn T, Brazier J, Sawyer M. An assessment of the construct validity of the CHU9D in the Australian adolescent general population. *Qual Life Res.* 2012 May;21(4):717–25. doi: 10.1007/s11136-011-9971-y.

49. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-3L User Guide, 2018. Available from:

<https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides>.

50. Liem A, Chih HJ, Velaithan V, Norman R, Reidpath D, Su TT. A comparison of health-related quality of life using the World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF and 5-Level EuroQol-5 Dimensions in the Malaysian population. *Osong public health and research perspectives.*

2025, 16(2), 126–140. <https://doi.org/10.24171/j.phrp.2024.0076>

51. Shafie AA, Vasanthakumari A, Lim CJ, Luo N. Psychometric performance assessment of Malay and Malaysian English version of EQ-5D-5L in the Malaysian population. *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation*, 2019, 28(1), 153–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-018-2027-9>

52. Personal Social Services Research Unit. Client Service Receipt Inventory (CSRI).

<https://www.pssru.ac.uk/csri/client-service-receipt-inventory/>

53. Moshagen M, Bader M. *semPower: General power analysis for structural equation models.*

Behavior Research Methods, 2023. 56(4), 2901–2922. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-023-02254-7>

54. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang AG. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 2009, 41(4), 1149–1160.

<https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149>

55. Jacobson NS & Truax P. Clinical significance: A statistical approach to defining meaningful

change in psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1991, 59(1), 12–

19. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.59.1.12>

56. Beecham J. Collecting Information: the client service receipt interview. *Mental Health Research*, 1994. 1, 6–8.
57. Fletcher AJ. Applying critical realism in qualitative research: methodology meets method international. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*, 2016, 20, 181-194.
58. Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, Bond L, et al. Process evaluation of complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. *British Medical Journal*, 2015, 350:h1258. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h1258.
59. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implementation Science*, 2009, 4(1), 50.
60. Glasgow RE, Vogt TM, Boles SM. Evaluating the public health impact of health promotion interventions: the RE-AIM framework. *American Journal of Public Health*, 1999, 89, 1322–7.
61. Bandura A. *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. 1986. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
62. Venturo-Conerly, K.E., Johnson, N.E., Osborn, T.L. et al. Long-term health outcomes of adolescent character strength interventions: 3- to 4-year outcomes of three randomized controlled trials of the Shamiri program. *Trials* 23, 443 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-022-06394-7>
63. Venturo-Conerly, K.E., Wasil, A.R., Osborn, T.L., Puffer, E.S., Weisz, J.R., Wasanga, C.M. Designing Culturally and Contextually Sensitive Protocols for Suicide Risk in Global Mental Health: Lessons From Research With Adolescents in Kenya. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 2022, 61 (9), 1074 – 1077
64. Ministry of Health (2020). *National Strategic Plan For Mental Health 2020-2025*. Putrajaya: Malaysia.

Figure 1: The study design




Table 1: Participant timeline {13}
The schedule of enrolment, intervention, and assessment

Timepoint	Screening July 2025 - August 2025	Allocation*	Informants	T1 (baseline/pre- intervention)	T2 (2-months post- intervention)	T3 (6-months post- intervention)	T4 (12-months post- intervention)
ENROLMENT							
School consent	x						
Parent consent (for screening)	x						
Screening	x						
ALLOCATION							
Class randomization		x					
INTERVENTIONS							
Super Skills for Life				●————●			
Study Skills programme (control condition)				●————●			
ASSESSMENTS							
Screening Questionnaire							
Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scale (DASS-21)	x		A				
Additional measures during the screening stage							
School connectedness (SC)	x						
Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents (EES)	x						
Lifestyle and Habits Scale (LS)	x						
Teenage Executive Functioning Inventory (TEXI)	x						
Mental Health Literacy items	x						
Primary Outcomes							
Revised Children’s Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS) for Adolescents			A	x	x	x	x
Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale			A	x	x	x	x
Secondary Outcomes							
Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES)			A	x	x	x	x

School connectedness (SC)			A	x		x	
Educational Stress Scale for Adolescents (EES)			A	x	x	x	x
Paykel Suicide Scale (PSS)			A	x	x	x	x
Lifestyle and Habits Scale (LS)			A	x	x	x	x
Executive Functioning							
- Teenage Executive Functioning Inventory (TEXI)			A	x		x	x
- Milliseconds experimental task			A	x	x	x	x
Cognitive Emotional Regulation Questionnaire (CERQ)			A	x	x	x	x
Social Skills Questionnaire (M-SSQ)			A	x	x	x	x
Health Economics Outcomes							
Child Health Utility			A	x			x
European Quality of Life Five Dimension (Questionnaire)			P	x			x
Client Service Receipt Inventory (Interview: Adolescent's frequency and cost of using mental and physical health and social services)			P	x			x
Implementation Outcomes							
Interview: School characteristics, school culture and climate			T	x			
Interview: Intervention uptake/reach and adoption			T	x			x
Questionnaire: School Evidence-based Practice Attitude Scale; Confidence level in delivering SSL; Self Efficacy Scale			F	x			
Fidelity (after each session) - Questionnaire			F	x	x		
Interview: Satisfaction and acceptability of SSL			A, F		x		
Other measures							
Mental health literacy				P	x		
				F	x		

Monitoring of serious adverse events				SC	x	x	x	x
--------------------------------------	--	--	--	----	---	---	---	---

Note:

A = Adolescents; F = SSL facilitator; T = Teacher; P = Parent; SC = School counsellors

T1 = 1 week before the intervention; T2 = 2 months post-intervention; T3, T4 = 6- and 12-months post-intervention, respectively

*The maximum interval between screening and randomisation is 30 days.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Table 2: SPIRIT Checklist for *Trials*

Complete this checklist by entering the page and line numbers where each of the items listed below can be found in your manuscript.

Your manuscript may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please state "n/a" and provide a short explanation. **Leaving an item blank or stating "n/a" without an explanation will lead to your manuscript being returned before review.**

Upload your completed checklist as an additional file when you submit to *Trials*. You must reference this additional file in the main text of your protocol submission. The completed SPIRIT figure must be included within the main body of the protocol text and can be downloaded here: <http://www.spirit-statement.org/schedule-of-enrolment-interventions-and-assessments/>

In your methods section, please state that you used the SPIRIT reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin J, Dickersin K, Hróbjartsson A, Schulz KF, Parulekar WR, Krleža-Jerić K, Laupacis A, Moher D. SPIRIT 2013 Explanation and Elaboration: Guidance for protocols of clinical trials. *BMJ*. 2013;346:e7586

		Reporting Item	Page and Line Number	Reason if not applicable
Administrative information				
Title	#1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym	Title page	
Trial registration	#2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry	Page 4	
Trial registration: data set	#2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set	Page 4	
Protocol version	#3	Date and version identifier	Page 4	
Funding	#4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other	Page 4	

		support		
Roles and responsibilities: contributorship	#5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors	Page 5	
Roles and responsibilities: sponsor contact information	#5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	Pages 4-5	
Roles and responsibilities: sponsor and funder	#5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities	Page 5	
Roles and responsibilities: committees	#5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	Pages 34-35	
Introduction				
Background and rationale	#6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention	Pages 6-7	
Background and rationale: choice of comparators	#6b	Explanation for choice of comparators	Page 11	
Objectives	#7	Specific objectives or	Pages 7-8	

		hypotheses		
Trial design	#8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)	Pages 8-9	
Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes				
Study setting	#9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained	Pages 9-10	
Eligibility criteria	#10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists)	Page 10	
Interventions: description	#11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered	Pages 11-12	
Interventions: modifications	#11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving / worsening disease)	Pages 12-13	
Interventions: adherence	#11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug tablet return; laboratory tests)	Pages 13-14	

Interventions: concomitant care	#11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial	Page 14	
Outcomes	#12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended	Pages 15-23	
Participant timeline	#13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)	Page 23 Table 1 (pages 51-53)	
Sample size	#14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations	Pages 23-24	
Recruitment	#15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size	Pages 24-25	
Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials)				
Allocation: sequence generation	#16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg,	Page 25	

		blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions		
Allocation concealment mechanism	#16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned	Page 26	
Allocation: implementation	#16c	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions	Page 26	
Blinding (masking)	#17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how	Page 26	
Blinding (masking): emergency unblinding	#17b	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial	Page 26	
Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis				
Data collection plan	#18a	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be	Pages 27	

		found, if not in the protocol		
Data collection plan: retention	#18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols	Page 27	
Data management	#19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol	Pages 27-28	
Statistics: outcomes	#20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol	Pages 28-30	
Statistics: additional analyses	#20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses)	Page 31	
Statistics: analysis population and missing data	#20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation)	Page 31	
Methods: Monitoring				
Data monitoring: formal committee	#21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further	Page 35	

		details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed		
Data monitoring: interim analysis	#21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial	Page 31	.
Harms	#22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct	Page 35	
Auditing	#23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor	Pages 35-36	
Ethics and dissemination				
Research ethics approval	#24	Plans for seeking research ethics committee / institutional review board (REC / IRB) approval	Page 41	
Protocol amendments	#25	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC / IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)	Page 36	
Consent or assent	#26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)	Page 11	

Consent or assent: ancillary studies	#26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable	Page 11	No biological specimens are not collected.
Confidentiality	#27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial	Page 28	
Declaration of interests	#28	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site	Page 41	
Data access	#29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators	Page 40	
Ancillary and post trial care	#30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation	Page 14	
Dissemination policy: trial results	#31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions	Page 36-37	
Dissemination policy: authorship	#31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers	N/A	No intension to use professional writers
Dissemination policy: reproducible	#31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level	Page 31	

research		dataset, and statistical code		
Appendices				
Informed consent materials	#32	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates	Consent form available from corresponding author	
Biological specimens	#33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable	N/A	Biological specimens not collected

It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported” license. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with Penelope.ai