



## Research Paper

# Novel computational approach integrating genetic algorithms with multi-objective optimization to develop efficient protocols for analysing Cd, Pb, Cr and Hg in *Saccharum officinarum*

Ebenezer Aquisman Asare<sup>a,\*</sup> , Dickson Abdul-Wahab<sup>b</sup>, Elsie Effah Kaufmann<sup>c</sup>, Rafeah Wahi<sup>d</sup> , Zainab Ngaini<sup>d</sup>, Archibold Buah-Kwofie<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Organic Laboratory Research, Nuclear Chemistry and Environmental Research Centre, National Nuclear Research Institute (NNRI), Ghana Atomic Energy Commission (GAEC), Box LG 80, Legon-Accra, Ghana

<sup>b</sup> Department of Nuclear Science and Applications, School of Nuclear and Allied Sciences, University of Ghana, Atomic-Kwabanya, Accra, Ghana

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Ghana, Legon-Accra, Ghana

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia

<sup>e</sup> Nuclear Power Institute (NPI), Ghana Atomic Energy Commission (GAEC), Box LG 80, Legon-Accra, Ghana

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Computational framework

ICP-OES

Multi-objective genetic algorithm optimization

Sugarcane

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Heavy-metal contamination in sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) can compromise food safety and requires extraction protocols that are both efficient and analytically defensible across chemically heterogeneous matrices (juice and lignocellulosic tissues).

**Methods:** A computational–experimental framework was developed that integrates sequential chemical extraction with ICP-OES quantification and a seven-objective NSGA-II optimizer to balance chemical performance (extraction efficiency, contamination resistance, and a phase-integrity/species-preservation proxy) against operational burden (time, reagent use, energy proxy, and cost). Experimental validation was conducted using  $n = 5$  independent biological replicates per tissue type per condition, with replicate instrumental measurements and QA/QC gating (certified reference materials plus sugarcane matrix spikes) to ensure analytical accuracy and identify/retain only qualified batches. Agreement between computational predictions and experimental outcomes was evaluated using Bland–Altman limits-of-agreement and uncertainty propagation, while protocol performance differences were tested using Kruskal–Wallis omnibus tests followed by Benjamini–Hochberg–adjusted pairwise Mann–Whitney tests, with 95% bootstrap confidence intervals reported for key metrics (see SI Tables S4A–S4D; SI Fig. S11).

**Results:** Operational objectives exhibited rapid convergence, with 20–30% improvement by generation 15 relative to the initial population, followed by diminishing returns (SI Fig. S6–S9). Statistically significant differences among candidate protocols were observed for the primary objectives (omnibus tests  $p < 0.05$ ; BH-adjusted pairwise comparisons reported in SI), and prediction–measurement agreement diagnostics supported the validity of experimentally qualified recommendations within the tested design space.

**Significance:** The framework provides a reproducible, uncertainty-aware pathway for optimizing sequential extraction protocols in complex sugarcane matrices while explicitly reporting replication, statistical support, and prediction–measurement agreement needed for defensible method development.

## 1. Introduction

Heavy metal contamination in food crops poses significant health and environmental risks worldwide [1], with sugarcane being particularly concerning due to its global importance as both a food source and

biofuel feedstock [2]. Sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) cultivation spans over 26 million hectares across 90 countries, contributing approximately 80% of global sugar production [3] and 40% of biofuel needs [4]. The crop's economic significance extends beyond simple agricultural metrics, with the sugar industry supporting millions of livelihoods across

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [aquisman1989@gmail.com](mailto:aquisman1989@gmail.com) (E.A. Asare).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexres.2026.101966>

Received 8 September 2025; Received in revised form 13 May 2026; Accepted 1 June 2026

Available online 2 June 2026

3050-4759/© 2026 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.