

Digital Transformation and Stakeholder-Driven Sustainability: A Strategic Framework for Resilient Business Operations in Emerging Economies

Ningli Zuo³, Kai Chen Goh^{1*}, Jia Wen Kong¹, Hun Chuen Gui², Wan Siang Chong²

¹Department of Construction Management, Faculty of Technology Management and Business, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, 86400 Parit Raja, Johor, Malaysia

²Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Jln. Datuk Mohammad Musa, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak

³Faculty of Technical and Vocational Education, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, 86400 Parit Raja, Johor, Malaysia

*Corresponding author : kaichen@uthm.edu.my

ABSTRACT

Digital transformation is no longer optional for firms seeking sustained competitiveness; it is a strategic vehicle for reconciling economic performance with social and environmental responsibilities. Grounded in stakeholder theory, this paper develops a conceptual framework that illuminates how digital strategies can be intentionally aligned with the interests of shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, and communities to deliver durable value. The true value of digital adoption lies not merely in efficiency gains, but in its capacity to foster innovation, enrich customer relationships, and strengthen supply chain resilience. Crucially, these benefits materialize only when digital initiatives are guided by a coherent organizational vision and grounded in a value proposition that meaningfully addresses the needs of diverse stakeholders. Effective two-way communication and stakeholder participation are shown to be critical enablers of adoption and legitimacy. The framework also foregrounds environmental sustainability by linking digital levers (clean production technologies, circular product design, and digital monitoring) to measurable reductions in ecological footprint and to new streams of economic value. Finally, we identify workforce capability-building as a linchpin: targeted training and human-capital investments elevate digital literacy, spur innovation, and secure equitable benefits for employees. The paper concludes with managerial implications and research avenues for operationalising a holistic digital-transformation strategy that balances economic, social, and environmental imperatives.

KEYWORDS: Digital transformation, Business operations, Sustainable development, Stakeholder theory, Corporate strategy

Received 11 November 2025; Revised 1 December 2025; Accepted 15 December 2025
Doi: <https://doi.org/10.59953/paperasia.v42i4b.1106>

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary digital era, the pace of technological change is unprecedented, reshaping not only global business operations but also the very foundations of sustainable economic growth. Since 2017, the diffusion of digital strategies has accelerated dramatically, fundamentally altering organisational structures, competitive dynamics, and value-creation processes (Hanelt et al., 2021). This period of transformation is not confined to advanced economies; it is equally, if not more, consequential for emerging markets, where digital adoption can leapfrog traditional infrastructural constraints and catalyse inclusive growth. According

to estimates from the McKinsey Global Institute, digital technologies could contribute up to \$3.7 trillion a year to the global economy by 2025 (Manyika et al., 2016), highlighting their potential to drive both economic and societal resilience.

To translate these insights into practice, this paper proposes a mixed-methods research agenda combining comparative case studies in emerging-market firms with large-N survey analysis to test the proposed stakeholder-aligned digital transformation framework. Qualitative case work will trace how leaders translate strategic vision into governance, investment choices, and internal communication, while surveys will

quantify relationships among digital investment, stakeholder engagement practices, sustainability outcomes, and firm performance. Longitudinal designs are recommended to capture dynamic effects and causality. Managerially, the findings counsel executives to treat digital transformation as an integrated change program rather than a collection of discrete technology projects.

Practical steps include: (1) co-creating a concise digital-and-sustainability value proposition with key stakeholder groups; (2) embedding metrics that track economic, environmental, and social returns; (3) investing in modular, interoperable technologies that support circularity and decarbonisation; and (4) launching continuous upskilling programs tied to clear career pathways to secure employee buy-in and retain institutional knowledge (Nambisan et al., 2019; Hanelt et al., 2021). Policy implications are equally important. Regulators and development agencies can accelerate inclusive digitalisation by incentivising green tech adoption, funding workforce reskilling initiatives, and ensuring digital infrastructure reaches underserved regions. Public-private partnerships that align incentives across ecosystems will be especially effective in overcoming coordination failures that impede sustainable digital scaling in emerging economies (Manyika et al., 2016).

Limitations of the current review include uneven data availability across regions and industries and the rapid evolution of both technology and regulatory landscapes, which may affect generalizability (Goh et al., 2017). Future research should explore industry-specific pathways, the role of governance structures in mediating trade-offs among stakeholder interests, and the distributional impacts of automation on vulnerable labour groups. In closing, integrating digital strategies with stakeholder-centred governance offers a practicable route toward sustainable, inclusive growth. When firms adopt a clear vision, communicate transparently, invest in human capital, and align technology choices with environmental goals, digital transformation can become a resilient engine of value that benefits shareholders and society alike.

This paper will explore these issues through a mixed-methods approach, combining insights from existing literature with empirical findings from case studies in Hangzhou, China, providing valuable guidance for organizations seeking to navigate the complexities of digital transformation and sustainability.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Organisations today face pressures that go well beyond mere survival: accelerating technological change, deeper global interdependence, and intensifying

competitive forces demand sustained competitiveness, adaptability, and resilience. In this environment, digital transformation has become a strategic imperative. Far from a simple technology upgrade, it entails a fundamental reconfiguration of business models, operational processes, and organisational culture (Imran et al., 2021). Digital transformation improves efficiency and responsiveness while cultivating innovation, organisational agility, and long-term value creation, thereby serving as a critical driver of sustainable development and operational excellence.

The scholarly literature has expanded rapidly, reflecting the topic's growing strategic significance. Empirical and theoretical work converges on the view that successful digital transformation is multidimensional, requiring the integration of advanced technologies with organisational capabilities, leadership vision, and stakeholder engagement (Brynjolfsson et al., 2011; Manyika et al., 2016; Ransbotham et al., 2018). Moreover, aligning digital initiatives with corporate sustainability agendas produces synergistic gains, advancing environmental stewardship, social inclusion, and economic performance concurrently (Kurniawan et al., 2017; Bughin et al., 2018).

This literature review critically evaluates extant research on the intersection of digital transformation and corporate sustainability. It clarifies the field's current state and identifies conceptual and empirical gaps by consolidating central theoretical frameworks, key empirical findings, and emerging perspectives. This foundation shows that the present study's inquiry into how strategically embedded digital transformation can strengthen organisational resilience and support sustainable growth amid increasing volatility and competitive pressure.

2.1 Stakeholder Theory and Corporate Sustainability

Stakeholder theory and corporate sustainability are closely intertwined pillars of contemporary business scholarship and practice. Stakeholder theory reframes the firm's purpose beyond shareholder wealth maximisation to include creating value for employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the natural environment (Freeman et al., 2018). Corporate sustainability, in turn, concerns the long-term viability and resilience of organisations through the integrated pursuit of economic, social, and environmental objectives (Florez-Jimenez et al., 2024). Together, these perspectives offer a rigorous lens for examining how firms can reconcile profitability with responsibility and resilience.

Stakeholder theory supplies a conceptual apparatus for identifying, prioritising, and managing heterogeneous claims; corporate sustainability translates those claims into strategic initiatives and operational practices.

Effective stakeholder engagement is therefore central to navigating sustainability's complexity. Drawing on Hayek's market-process thinking, Valentinov (2023) illustrates how collaborative stakeholder processes help surmount the epistemic limits firms face in designing sustainable strategies, highlighting the importance of iterative dialogue and mutual learning.

Empirical studies increasingly associate substantive stakeholder engagement with superior organisational outcomes, including enhanced performance, reputation, and legitimacy. Yet these benefits depend on credible, transparent practices; otherwise, engagement risks devolving into symbolic signalling or greenwashing. Freeman et al. (2018) stress that stakeholder-oriented strategy requires accountability through verifiable disclosures of environmental and social impacts.

Sustainability reporting standards, such as the Global Reporting Initiative, aim to operationalise transparency (Orazalin & Mahmood, 2020), but their effectiveness is uneven. Bradford et al. (2017) find a persistent mismatch between GRI disclosures and consumer information needs, indicating that formal compliance does not automatically deliver meaningful stakeholder transparency. External pressures from investors to civil society further shape corporate sustainability behaviour, a dynamic that institutional theory helps explain by foregrounding regulatory, normative, and cultural forces (Risi et al., 2023). Shen et al. (2023) document how regulatory support in China has accelerated ESG reporting uptake, while also revealing ongoing concerns about the substance of compliance versus performative practices.

In sum, integrating stakeholder theory into corporate sustainability is both analytically powerful and practically necessary. Progress in reporting and engagement has been significant, but the literature consistently calls for deeper alignment between disclosures and stakeholder

priorities. Advancing this agenda requires shifting from compliance-centric models toward participatory, transparent, and impact-oriented governance mechanisms that genuinely reflect and respond to stakeholders' evolving expectations.

2.2 Research Gaps

The convergence of stakeholder theory and corporate sustainability has become a central concern in contemporary management research. Stakeholder theory contends that organisational decision-making must extend beyond shareholder profit to address the legitimate interests and well-being of employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the natural environment (Kujala et al., 2022). Corporate sustainability, meanwhile, emphasises the firm's long-term viability by balancing economic performance with social responsibility and environmental stewardship (Le, 2022). Recent scholarship highlights productive synergies where stakeholder-oriented strategies strengthen durable sustainability outcomes. When firms systematically integrate stakeholder engagement into strategy and operations, they not only meet ethical obligations but also bolster resilience, social legitimacy, and adaptive capacity in complex institutional environments. This literature review synthesises contemporary theoretical and empirical contributions at this nexus, clarifying how organisations negotiate competing stakeholder claims while pursuing sustainable development objectives (see **Table 1**).

In summary, embedding stakeholder theory within corporate sustainability strategy is indispensable for organisations that aim to reconcile competing expectations and generate sustained value. This integrative stance enables firms to manage the tensions among economic goals, social obligations, and environmental responsibilities, thereby enhancing legitimacy and competitive resilience (Martínez-Peláez et al., 2023).

Table 1: Research gap on business operation strategy

Research Gap/Theme	Description	Related Studies (APA References)
Greenwashing and CSR	The discrepancy between a company's sustainability claims and actual practices.	Shen et al., (2023)
Stakeholder Engagement in Sustainability	The role of active stakeholder participation in navigating sustainability issues.	Valentinov (2023)
Transparency and Accountability in Reporting	The need for genuine and transparent sustainability reporting practices.	Freeman et al. (2018)
GRI Framework Alignment with Stakeholder Interests	Mismatch between the GRI reporting framework and stakeholders' information demands.	Bradford et al. (2017)
Influence of Stakeholder Pressure on Environmental Performance	How external pressures shape corporate sustainability practices.	Lozano (2015)
ESG Practices in China	Advancement and concerns of corporate sustainability reporting in a unique institutional context.	Shen et al. (2023)
Processual Perspective of Stakeholder Theory	Applying a processual view to understand the contribution of stakeholder management.	Valentinov (2023)

Despite progress in adopting sustainability reporting frameworks, persistent misalignments between standardised disclosures and stakeholders' heterogeneous information needs undermine their practical effectiveness. Closing this gap requires a shift toward stakeholder-centred reporting and engagement practices that prioritise relevance, transparency, and accountability, ensuring sustainability commitments are both strategically coherent and credible to stakeholder constituencies.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods design that combines primary qualitative inquiry with systematic secondary data review to investigate how firms in Hangzhou, China, align digital transformation efforts with environmental-sustainability objectives. Primary evidence was gathered through semi-structured, face-to-face interviews; secondary sources included industry reports, corporate disclosures, and peer-reviewed literature, which together contextualised and triangulated the empirical findings.

A mixed-methods approach was employed to gain a more holistic and nuanced understanding of how firms in Hangzhou align digital transformation efforts with environmental sustainability objectives. Qualitative data, gathered through semi-structured interviews, allowed for an in-depth exploration of the experiences, perspectives, and challenges faced by individuals involved in these initiatives. This qualitative component provides rich, descriptive data that captures the 'how' and 'why' behind the observed strategies and outcomes, providing valuable context that quantitative data alone could not offer. This qualitative data was supplemented with secondary data, including industry reports, corporate disclosures, and peer-reviewed literature, to contextualize the findings from the interviews and provide a broader understanding of the landscape. This combination of primary qualitative inquiry with systematic secondary data review allowed for triangulation of findings, enhancing the validity and reliability of the research. This approach is particularly well-suited for exploring complex phenomena, such as the intersection of digital transformation and sustainability, where both individual experiences and broader contextual factors play a significant role.

A purposive sampling strategy targeted individuals with direct responsibility for, or specialised knowledge of, their organisations' digital and sustainability agendas. The final sample comprised 20 informants drawn from five firms and representing diverse roles, including CEOs, sustainability managers, IT directors, and environmental consultants, thereby capturing multiple perspectives on strategic decision-making and implementation.

Potential participants received an email outlining the study aims, confidentiality safeguards, and voluntary participation terms. Interviews were arranged at participants' workplaces to promote convenience and candid dialogue. An interview protocol guided discussions with open-ended prompts addressing participants' experiences of digital transformation, mechanisms for integrating environmental objectives, and perceived organisational and ecological outcomes. The protocol allowed for adaptive probing to surface unanticipated themes.

With participants' consent, interviews were audio recorded and complemented by field notes that captured nonverbal cues and contextual observations. Recordings were transcribed verbatim to preserve linguistic nuance and ensure analytic fidelity.

Data were analysed using thematic analysis through iterative cycles of coding, categorisation, and theme development (Lozano, 2015; Goh et al., 2019). Initial line-by-line codes were progressively abstracted into higher-order themes that reflected recurrent patterns and distinctive insights. An audit trail documented coding decisions and analytic memos to enhance transparency and reproducibility. To strengthen credibility, member-checking was undertaken with a subset of participants to verify preliminary interpretations and correct any inaccuracies.

Ethical standards were rigorously applied throughout the study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, confidentiality was maintained, and participants retained the right to withdraw at any time. The integration of in-depth qualitative data with corroborating secondary evidence produced a robust, contextually grounded account of how digital strategies are being mobilised to advance environmental sustainability in Hangzhou's corporate settings.

4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Based on the empirical results from the 20 interviewees (R1-R20) regarding digital transformation and environmental sustainability goals for the Hangzhou business landscape, the key themes that emerged from the data. The findings were structured around the three main areas identified, including Digital Strategy and Leadership, Technology and Innovation, Workforce Development, Customer Engagement, Supply Chain Management, Talent Management, Measuring Impact, Challenges and Barriers, and Future Outlook.

Table 2 summarises the principal themes that emerged from twenty semi-structured interviews, capturing participants' perceptions across domains such as digital strategy, technology adoption, workforce development, and customer engagement. Respondents consistently

identified decisive leadership and clear strategic direction as essential to steering digital initiatives toward environmental objectives; they emphasised that top-level commitment facilitates cross-departmental collaboration and the integration of sustainability into core processes. Adoption of clean technologies and the institutionalisation of data-driven decision-making were repeatedly cited as primary mechanisms for reducing ecological footprints while generating economic value (Omar et al., 2014).

Capacity building, including targeted training, sustainability literacy, and active employee participation, was framed as indispensable to embedding change, and interviewees highlighted digital communication as an effective channel for promoting sustainable products and mobilising consumer engagement. Digital solutions for sustainable sourcing and green logistics were noted as instrumental in improving supply-chain environmental performance (Nambisan et al., 2019).

Interviewees reported observable reductions in environmental impact alongside commercial gains, yet they also acknowledged persistent barriers, chiefly organisational resistance and the challenge of reconciling short-term costs with long-term benefits.

5. DISCUSSION

This empirical investigation, based on 20 semi-structured interviews with representatives from five companies in Hangzhou, China, offers a nuanced understanding of the intricate relationship between digital transformation and environmental sustainability. The findings reveal that when digital strategies are deliberately aligned with sustainability objectives, organisations can significantly reduce their ecological footprint while enhancing economic value. Such alignment underscores the importance of strong leadership in championing and steering digital initiatives towards sustainability goals. Consistent with transformational leadership theory, leaders play a pivotal role in shaping organisational culture and strategic direction (Xia et al., 2008), with our results indicating that a clear top-down commitment is essential to embed sustainability within the digital transformation agenda.

Stakeholder theory likewise informs our results: inclusive engagement of employees, customers, suppliers, and other stakeholders strengthens both environmental performance and commercial viability (Yeo et al., 2019). Such participation fosters trust, collective problem-solving, and adaptive capacity. Interviewees

Table 2: Key themes and representative quotes from interviewees

Theme Category	Sub-themes	Representative Quotes from Interviewees
Digital Strategy and Leadership	Top-Down Initiatives	"Our CEO has been a driving force behind our digital initiatives, ensuring they align with our sustainability goals." R1
	Cross-Departmental Collaboration	"There's a dedicated team from IT, sustainability, and operations working together on our digital projects." R5, R7
Technology and Innovation	Adoption of Clean Technologies	"We've invested heavily in solar panels and energy-efficient machinery, which has significantly reduced our energy consumption." R3, R6
	Data-Driven Decision Making	"Our sustainability decisions are backed by data analytics, which helps us make more informed choices." R2, R10
Workforce Development	Training and Education	"We've rolled out training programs to upskill our workforce in digital literacy and sustainability practices." R11, R14
	Employee Engagement in Sustainability	"Employees are encouraged to participate in green initiatives, and their ideas are often implemented company wide." R12, R13, R16
Customer Engagement	Sustainable Product Offerings	"Our customers are increasingly seeking out our eco-friendly products, and we use digital platforms to promote them." R15, R18
	Digital Communication	"We use our website and social media to communicate our sustainability efforts to customers and stakeholders." R19, R20
Supply Chain Management	Sustainable Sourcing	"We've implemented a digital tracking system to ensure our supply chain is sustainable and ethical." R1, R4
	Green Logistics	"Our digital logistics platform helps us optimize routes and reduce carbon emissions from transportation." R3, R12
Measuring Impact	Reduction in Ecological Footprint	"Through our digital initiatives, we've been able to cut down water usage by 20% and reduce waste." R12, R15
	Economic Value Addition	"The data analytics from our digital transformation has led to cost savings and increased revenue streams." R14, R18
Challenges and Barriers	Resistance to Change	"Some employees were initially resistant to the new digital tools, but ongoing training and support have helped." R19, R20
	Balancing Costs and Benefits	"There's a fine line between the costs of digital transformation and the environmental benefits it brings." R3, R15
Future Outlook	Long-Term Sustainability Goals	"Our digital roadmap is designed to help us achieve our long-term sustainability goals." R10, R15, R20
	Continuous Improvement	"We're committed to continuously improving our digital and sustainability practices based on feedback and new technologies." R14, R16

also highlighted the value of systems thinking, which frames organisations as interconnected wholes where digitally enabled changes in one domain propagate across processes and outcomes (Zhuang & Lederer, 2021). Cross-functional integration of digital technologies thus amplifies the reach and effectiveness of sustainability interventions.

Strategic integration emerged as a central enabler of success, with companies embedding digital transformation within broader business strategies that explicitly prioritise environmental outcomes. Such integration can deliver operational efficiencies, including reduced energy consumption through the adoption of clean technologies, while ensuring long-term competitiveness (Abad-Segura et al., 2025). This is complemented by investment in workforce development, as equipping employees with both digital competencies and sustainability literacy is crucial to fostering meaningful and sustained organisational change.

Customer engagement also plays a pivotal role: digital platforms facilitate dialogue with increasingly sustainability-conscious consumers, enhancing reputation, loyalty, and demand alignment (Tanveer et al., 2021). Moreover, digital tools improve supply-chain transparency and enable firms to monitor and elevate environmental performance across networks in response to consumer and regulatory pressures (Khan et al., 2022).

Despite these advantages, the study recognises persistent challenges, including resistance to change, cost–benefit tensions, and the complexity of coordinating digital and sustainability initiatives across multiple organisational functions. Overcoming these barriers requires consistent leadership support, transparent communication, and a long-term perspective that values the enduring benefits of digital–sustainability integration (Zada et al., 2025). Overall, this study demonstrates that when digital transformation is strategically embedded within environmental sustainability goals, it can yield substantial ecological and economic benefits. Theoretical frameworks such as transformational leadership, stakeholder theory, and systems thinking provide a valuable lens for interpreting these outcomes, while the practical insights highlight pathways for organisations to harness digital technologies in pursuit of a more sustainable future.

6. CONCLUSION

The integration of digital transformation with environmental-sustainability objectives constitutes a strategic avenue through which organisations can enhance economic performance while delivering measurable social and ecological benefits. Drawing on stakeholder theory and empirical evidence from 20

semi-structured interviews across five firms in Hangzhou, China, this study delineates how digital strategies can be intentionally harmonised with sustainability aims to generate balanced value for a broad set of stakeholders. Our findings indicate that decisive leadership and a clearly communicated strategic vision are prerequisites for aligning digital initiatives with environmental goals. Consistent with transformational leadership theory, leaders who visibly prioritise sustainability cultivate organisational cultures that encourage innovation, cross-functional collaboration, and the adoption of clean technologies. Data-driven decision making emerged as a critical mechanism for both reducing ecological footprints and enhancing economic returns. Active inclusion of employees, customers, suppliers, and other stakeholders in design and implementation processes reinforces stakeholder theory's core tenet: sustainable outcomes require participatory, accountability-centred governance. Theoretically, the study contributes by showing how transformational leadership, stakeholder perspectives, and systems thinking can be synthesised to guide sustainable digital transformation. Empirically, the evidence supports the proposition that strategic integration of digital and sustainability domains produces operational efficiencies, stimulates innovation, improves competitiveness, and helps overcome epistemic uncertainties inherent in sustainability decision-making. For practice, we identify four interdependent pillars: (1) embed digital-sustainability objectives in the core business model; (2) invest in workforce development to build digital and sustainability competencies; (3) engage customers to align offerings with evolving expectations; and (4) deploy digital tools to improve supply-chain transparency and environmental performance. Persistent barriers such as organisational resistance, short-term cost pressures, and cross-functional coordination challenges underscore the need for sustained leadership support, transparent communication, and a long-term strategic horizon. The future work should examine diverse institutional contexts and employ longitudinal designs to trace the sustained impacts of digital investments on sustainability outcomes. In sum, embedding stakeholder-centred governance within digital transformation offers a practicable route to reconcile economic, social, and environmental objectives and to position firms as contributors to a more sustainable future.

REFERENCES

- Abad-Segura, E., Castillo-Díaz, F. J., Batlles-de-laFuente, A., & Belmonte-Ureña, L. J. (2025). The impact of sustainable technologies on business strategy and competitiveness. In *Assessment of Social Sustainability Management in Various Sectors* (pp. 103–130). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-81029-9_6

- Bradford, M., Earp, J. B., Showalter, D. S., & Williams, P. F. (2017). Corporate sustainability reporting and stakeholder concerns: Is there a disconnect? *Accounting Horizons*, 31, 83–102. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51639>
- Brynjolfsson, E., Hitt, L. M., & Kim, H. H. (2019). Strength in numbers: How does data-driven decision-making affect firm performance? *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1819486>
- Bughin, J., Catlin, T., Hirt, M., & Willmott, P. (2018). Why digital strategies fail. *McKinsey Quarterly*, 1(1), 14–25.
- Florez-Jimenez, M. P., Lleo, A., Danvila-del-Valle, I., & Sanchez-Marin, G. (2024). Corporate sustainability, organizational resilience and corporate purpose: A triple concept for achieving long-term prosperity. *Management Decision*, 62(7), 2189–2213. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-06-2023-0938>
- Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., & Zyglidopoulos, S. (2018). *Stakeholder theory: Concepts and strategies (Elements in organization theory)*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108539500>
- Goh, H. H., Sim, S. Y., Mohamed, M. A. H., Rahman, A. K. A., Ling, C. W., Chua, Q. S., & Goh, K. C. (2017). Fault location techniques in electrical power system: A review. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 8(1), 206–212. <http://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v8.i1.pp206-212>
- Goh, K.C., Goh, H.H. & Chong, H.Y. (2019). Integration model of fuzzy AHP and life-cycle cost analysis for evaluating highway infrastructure investments. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 25(1), Article 04018045. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)IS.1943-555X.0000473](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IS.1943-555X.0000473)
- Hanelt, A., Bohnsack, R., Marz, D., & Antunes Marante, C. (2021). A systematic review of the literature on digital transformation: Insights and implications for strategy and organizational change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(5), 1159–1197. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12639>
- Imran, F., Shahzad, K., Butt, A., & Kantola, J. (2021). Digital transformation of industrial organizations: Toward an integrated framework. *Journal of Change Management*, 21(4), 451–479. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2021.1929406>
- Khan, S. A. R., Ahmad, Z., Sheikh, A. A., & Yu, Z. (2022). Digital transformation, smart technologies, and eco-innovation are paving the way toward sustainable supply chain performance. *Science Progress*, 105(4), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00368504221145648>
- Kujala, J., Sachs, S., Leinonen, H., Heikkinen, A., & Laude, D. (2022). Stakeholder engagement: Past, present, and future. *Business & Society*, 61(5), 1136–1196. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503211066595>
- Kurniawan, T. A., Meidiana, C., Goh, H. H., Zhang, D., Othman, M. H. D., Aziz, F., Anouzla, A., Sarangi, P.K., Pasaribu, B., & Ali, I. (2024). Unlocking synergies between waste management and climate change mitigation to accelerate decarbonization through circular-economy digitalization in Indonesia. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 46, 522–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2024.03.011>
- Le, T. T. (2022). How do corporate social responsibility and green innovation transform corporate green strategy into sustainable firm performance? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 362, Article 132228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132228>
- Lozano, R. (2015). A holistic perspective on corporate sustainability drivers. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 22(1), 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1325>
- Manyika, J., Lund, S., Bughin, J., Woetzel, J., Stamenov, K., & Dhingra, D. (2016). *Digital globalization: The new era of global flows*. McKinsey Global Institute.
- Martínez-Peláez, R., Ochoa-Brust, A., Rivera, S., Félix, V. G., Ostos, R., Brito, H., Félix, R. A. & Mena, L. J. (2023). Role of digital transformation for achieving sustainability: Mediated role of stakeholders, key capabilities, and technology. *Sustainability*, 15(14), Article 11221. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411221>
- Nambisan, S., Lyytinen, K., Majchrzak, A., & Song, M. (2019). Digital innovation management: Reinventing innovation management research in a digital world. *MIS Quarterly*, 41(1), 223–238. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2017/41.1.03>
- Omar, M. F., Nursal, A. T., Mohd Naw, M. N., Haron, A. T. & Kai, C. G. (2014). A preliminary requirement of decision support system for building information modelling software selection. *Malaysian Construction Research Journal*, 15(2), 11–28.
- Orazalin, N., & Mahmood, M. (2020). Determinants of GRI-based sustainability reporting: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 10(1), 140–164. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-12-2018-0137>
- Ransbotham, S., Kiron, D., Gervasio, A., & Spira, M. (2018). Embracing a digital future: Insights from the 2018 digital business global executive study and research project. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 59(3), 1–25.
- Risi, D., Vigneau, L., Bohn, S., & Wickert, C. (2023). Institutional theory-based research on corporate social responsibility: Bringing values back in. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 25(1), 3–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12299>
- Shen, H., Lin, H., Han, W., & Wu, H. (2023). ESG in China: A review of practice and research, and future research avenues. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 16(4), Article 100325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjar.2023.04.001>
- Tanveer, M., Ahmad, A. R., Mahmood, H., & Haq, I. U. (2021). Role of ethical marketing in driving consumer brand relationships and brand loyalty: A sustainable marketing approach. *Sustainability*,

13(12), Article 6839. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126839>

- Valentinov, V. (2023). Sustainability and stakeholder theory: A processual perspective. *Kybernetes*, 52(13), 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-05-2023-0819>
- Xia, J., Tan, J., & Tan, D. (2008). Managerial issues and solutions in offshore software outsourcing. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 16(4), 57–77. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2008100103>
- Yeo, A. C., & Parker, D. (2019). Digital transformation: A perspective of Malaysian manufacturing industry. *Journal of ICT*, 18(4), 505–530. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jict2019.18.4.6>
- Zada, M., Zada, S., Dhar, B. K., Ping, C., & Sarkar, S. (2025). Digital leadership and sustainable development: Enhancing firm sustainability through green innovation and top management innovativeness. *Sustainable Development*, 33(5), 6351–7909. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3488>
- Zhuang, Y., & Lederer, A. L. (2021). Digital transformation in organizations: Implications for human resource management. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 61(6), 594–606. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2020.1850194>